

Re: MMX and FORs Revisited

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-06/2673.html>

From: Peter Kinane (*pkinane_at_iol.ie*)

Date: 06/13/04

Date: 13 Jun 2004 12:54:52 -0700

Perhaps this is an opportunity to connect here to an O'Barr post, in the context of my position that the speed of light is an expression of – effects through – its source – causes.

"Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:e9b03d3c.0406091933.1af3769f@posting.google.com...

The fact that the

- > *speed of light is independent of its source is*
- > *absolute proof that there is an ether, by one name or*
- > *another. The fact that light even moves, the fact*
- > *that it moves at a fixed rate, the fact that one*
- > *particle of light moves exactly the same as all other*
- > *particles of light, the fact that we see the rates of*
- > *clocks being affected by velocities, the fact that*
- > *lengths must therefore also change if the*
- > *measurements remain constant, all these facts demand*
- > *that there is an ether.*

It seems to me that the 'supportive' arguments he makes to the proposition that "the speed of light is independent of its source" actually support the opposite – that the speed (of light) is dependent on its source.

--

Peter Kinane

<http://www.effectuationism.com/>