

Re: The Fifth Dimension

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-06/5454.html>

From: Leonard Pardin (*leopard_at_MailAndNews.com*)

Date: 06/29/04

Date: 28 Jun 2004 19:03:22 -0700

D.McAnally@i'm_a_gnu.uq.net.au (David McAnally) wrote in message news:

> *leopard@MailAndNews.com (Leonard Pardin) writes:*

> *>Einstein's followers offer*

> *>the nonsensical explanation that particles have frequencies.*

> *That is in Quantum Mechanics, for which, as I have already pointed out to you, Einstein was not a principal investigator.*

McaAnally, I am beginning to think you have never read Einstein. You yourself said particles are necessary to explain the photoelectric effect, and that was supposed to be Einstein's prize-winning paper. Here's what Einstein said about it in that paper:

"In accordance with the assumption to be considered here, the energy of a light ray spreading out from a point source is not continuously distributed over an increasing space, but consists of a finite number of energy quanta which are localized at points in space, which move without dividing, and which can only be produced and absorbed as complete units."

So there is your dopey deity talking about "localized points in space which move with out dividing." If Einstein is not talking about particles, then you lied about particles being necessary to explain the photoelectric effect. If he is talking about particles, then you keep lying by insisting that Einstein is not the one who claimed particles have "frequencies."

Now, stop all your childish tantrums, go play with your mathematics, and let the grownups discuss the the problems with modern physics.