

## Re: True Gems of Scientific Epistemology

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-07/4031.html>

---

**From:** cdj ([a\\_cjones\\_at\\_hotmail.com](mailto:a_cjones_at_hotmail.com))

**Date:** 07/21/04

Date: 21 Jul 2004 10:46:57 -0700

JXStern <JXSternChangeX2R@gte.net> wrote in message  
news:<kearf058423skunf5ukha2987bhi223b5e@4ax.com>...

- > *Orthogonal to your choices, I always like to recommend Ruth Garrett*
- > *Millikan's books on teleosemantics, "On Clear and Confused Ideas" is*
- > *more accessible than "Language, Thought, and Other Biological*
- > *Categories."*

Millikan? bah.

- > *And in relation to your later message, don't toss off Darwin so*
- > *quickly, evolution is an \*extremely\* subtle and tricky idea, enough so*
- > *that Gould and Dawkins agree on just about no details at all. Along*
- > *those lines, I'd recommend Ernst Mayr's "Toward a New Philosophy of*
- > *Biology".*

Good call on the evolution-tip. To hell with Gould n Dawkins tho – go  
with Daniel Dennett, "Darwin's Dangerous Idea"!

:)

cdj