Re: GOD=G_uv INVISIBLE WORLD

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004−07/4394.html

---

From: Ahmed Ouahi, Architect (*ahmed.ouahi_at_welho.com*)
Date: 07/22/04

Date: Thu, 22 Jul 2004 23:38:45 +0300

....All that we are is the result of what we have thought: It is founded on our thoughts and is made up of our thoughts!!!!!!!!!!!!!!..... ...

−− Dhammapada

−−
Ahmed Ouahi, Architect
Best Regards!
"Goran Dhemmeog" <hcraeser731@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:b9eccd47.0407221141.34ea6e36@posting.google.com...
> Ok, George. If you know something about Buddhism, please can you show
> me the passage in some Buddhist text? You say you are a scientist.
> Then please do some research. Go read Dhammapada, or The Heart of
> Perfect Wisdom. Show me where there is a reference to God. Actually I
> have full confidence that you can find something from there to that
> effect, but remember it is not "an invisible man, who controls all
> things and should be worshipped by all devout buddhists". Be careful!
> And sure you have time. Skip a few usenet posts. I am sure no−one will
> mind. You can send that next "SPOG rules" next month. If you have read
> those once, read them again. Please, do me a tiny favor.
>
> I don't say that making a demographic survey on your readers is a
> proof, but it does show wether you reach the people you are preaching
> for. If you go to China and speak gibberish that you think is chinese,
> but no−one seems to understand, it is safe to say that what you are
> saying is not chinese. It does not prove however that what you say is
> not some other valid language. Go learn chinese. Go read the Dhammada!
>
> Well technically MSc in something is not yet scientific credentials.
> Not even Phd is. Phd would tell that the person holding that degree is
> capable of scientific work but does not yet signify that the person
> has done so. Perhaps having some ackowledged work, and publications
> done is a whiff in that way. But George, no−one can really say himself
> having credentials. That honor is attributed by others. Scientific
> credentials are not something that wins a debate. It is something the
> editor writes in the back of the book you write. I promise, I say that
> you have credentials if you read the Heart of Perfect Wisdom.