

Re: Mileva Maric Einstein

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-07/4848.html>

From: Tom Potter (*tdp_at_earthlink.net*)

Date: 07/25/04

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 2004 13:49:19 +0800

"Double-A" <double-a@hush.com> wrote in message
news:79094630.0407231650.10f148b0@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Potter" <tdp@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<2mcqkrFlp3k4U11@uni-berlin.de>...
>> "Harry" <harald.vanlintel@epfl.ch> wrote in message
>> news:40ffdf4\$1@epflnews.epfl.ch...
>>>
>>> "Patrick Reany" <reany@asu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:844a1b64.0407220520.1f3d5a75@posting.google.com...
>>>> "Australopithecus Afarensis" <fossil.lucy@cox.net> wrote in message
>>> news:<thJLc.12059\$Fj6.7888@lakeread07>...
>>> SNIP
>>>
>>>> Gee, that's a toughy, given that after the divorce Mileva went on to
>>>> PROVE her acumen by publishing 35 scientific papers on her own on
>>>> general relativity, statistical mechanics, and quantum mechanics.
She
>>>> became the elder stateswoman of physics and twice Nobel Prize
winner,
>>>> in 1921 and 1930. Oh, but that was an alternative universe, because
in
>>>> this one she did NOTHING to distinguish herself at all.
>>>>
>>>> So, where's the application of that logic you said people should
use?
>>>>
>>>> Patrick
>>>>
>>>> Patrick, it is your logic that failed dramatically.
>>>> From the fact that before and after their collaboration their
scientific
>>>> output was little, it is thought that perhaps their collaboration was
good
>>>> for creativeness and fruitful.
>>>>
>>>> It is more likely
>>>> that she was more interested in raising her children

> > *than in doing science.*
>
> *What a sexist remark!*
>
> > *Many (Most?) successful career women,*
> > *lose interest in the workplace after they*
> > *have children, and the children become*
> > *the center of their world.*
>
> *More sexism from Potter the Pig!*
>
> > *Also she was busy making a living,*
> > *and taking care of Einstein's son,*
> > *who was deranged.*
> > *That alone would be a full time job.*
> >
> > *Too bad that Einstein did not help out*
> > *with this as almost all fathers would have.*
> > *In fact most fathers will fight tooth and nail*
> > *to get possession of their children.*
>
> *Perhaps Einstein had more profound things on his mind.*

What could be more "profound" and moral,
than consideration for one's children and family?

"Double-A's" position that men are inferior to women,
in regards to consideration of children and family, appears to be "sexist",
but no doubt he is right on this point.

The evidence seems to prove
that women will sacrifice much more for the sake
of their children and families,
than most men.

And of course,
some men will even abandon their children and families,
in pursuit of personal agendas, usually sex, money, and ego satisfaction.

--
Tom Potter <http://home.earthlink.net/~tdp>