

## Re: Big Bang Baloney....or scientific cult? [ Apparent Red Shift ]

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-07/4867.html>

---

**From:** Bill Hobba (*bhobba\_at\_rubbish.net.au*)

**Date:** 07/25/04

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 2004 08:51:34 GMT

"Ralph Hertle" <ralph.hertle@verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:4100B8C0.7060504@verizon.net...

> *Info Plumber wrote:*

>

>

> [snip]

>

> > *Thanks for the tip, how is this?*

>>

>

>

> *Everything is OK.*

>

>

>>

>>>.....

>>>

>>> "Ralph Hertle" <ralph.hertle@verizon.net> wrote in message

>>> news:40FCC49D.2050105@verizon.net...

>>>

>>><snip>

>>>

>>>> *The universe is eternal. Every scientific discovery, demonstration,*

>>> *and proof done in all of history validates the existence of the*

>>> *existents being examined in the observations and experiments, and taken*

>>> *together by the use of inductive logic, the fact of continuity can be*

>>> *inferred and then validated.<<*

>>

>>

>> *I believe that is an unsupportable claim.*

>>

>

>

> *Induction is not that popular in our society, however, that is the*

> *process that is used to arrive at the concept that, "The universe is*

> *eternal."*

Run that but me again – how does induction show that the universe is eternal? Induction only gives us hypothesis – not facts.

- > *With induction one reasons from many particulars to a single*
- > *unifying concept. \* You say that what I say is unsupportable. I say*
- > *that what I said is a fact that is verifiable.*