

Re: knowledge is power

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/0690.html>

From: Androcles (*androcles_at_nospamblueyonder.co.uk*)

Date: 08/03/04

Date: Tue, 03 Aug 2004 08:10:06 GMT

"mostafa dia" <the_apprentice1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b89298e9.0408020051.3a80abc1@posting.google.com...

| Space and time

| -----

| There are two theories or convictions centered around the existence or
| creation of our present universe, and life altogether:

| The first one is a scientific approach, which is not yet proven, and is
| doubted by a great many number of religious and non-religious
| believers.

| The second one is a spiritual belief, that is not scientifically
| logical, and is likewise doubted by as many as those who believe in the
| first theory.

| The first proposition assumes that the universe began with a big
| bang; that space and time emerged with that first universal
| explosion; that this brought about the necessary material elements
| which formed a body of about 100 or more billion galaxies during a
| span of about 10 to 20 billion years of human time; that everyone of
| these galaxies is supposed to contain less or more than 100 billion
| stars each, ranging in size from being close to our solar star, up to
| 100's or 1000's of times larger than that; that most or all of these
| stars might have planets and moons, ranging in numbers according to
| their relative size.

| This assumption has led to the fuzzy conclusion that there existed
| neither time nor space prior to that big universal show of heavenly
| fireworks.

| But that left one major flaw in the web of the deductive and logical
| reasoning of the frail human mind:

| Where was that extremely dense mass of the (petite) particle situated
| prior to that bang??? And what form of timeless and spaceless object
| of unknown substance did enclose or surround its relatively (poco)
| size???

| Three possible alternatives stand out before our very scrutinizing
| eyes of our logical petty minds:

| 1- This particle might have been immersed in a limited timeless and
| spaceless object of unknown substance, slightly bigger than the
| particle's size.

| 2- It might have been enclosed by a timeless and spaceless object of

| unknown substance that fit exactly its size;In both cases nothing was
| supposed to exist beyond that.
| 3–It was floating in an infinite timeless and spaceless object of
| unknown substance.
| If we hang on to the first alternative,we would conclude that the
| boundaries of that small particle coincided with the beginning of the
| timeless and spaceless limited substance that certainly has an
| end,because it was not infinite.That substance could not have ended
| nowhere!!!But had to coincide with the beginning of something
| else;Otherwise,it could not have been limited'with an end.
| Following that same route of deductive reasoning,we would conclude
| that that something else of timeless and spaceless nature had to be
| limited,with an end;or infinite,with no end.
| If it were the first,it had to coincide with still another thing of
| timeless and spaceless nature,and so on and so forth,up to an endless
| chain of infinite number of limited objects of timeless and spaceless
| natures to no end.
| If it were the latter,it should have been one infinite timeless and
| spaceless object of unknown nature that occupied everything outside
| the boundaries of that particle and its compartments.
| If we stick to the second alternative,we would have to run the same
| chain of beginnings and ends of infinite number of timeless and
| spaceless objects of unknown natures.
| If we settle with the last alternative,we would get the same results
| without having to go over the same repetitive cycle of beginnings and
| ends.
| But,in everyone of these three alternatives,there arises the one and
| same obstacle :
| This particle could not have expanded beyond its limited boundaries
| if it were surrounded by some form of infinite unknown substance or
| substances,whose infinite size might have hindered the expansion of
| that little particle beyond its restricted boundaries;that infinite
| body of unknown nature could not have been pushed or moved beyond its
| infinite boundaries,in order to give room for the expansion of that
| particle;there were supposed to exist no empty space in the first
| place,before or after that substance,or any other substance or
| particle,remember!!!
| This final analysis leaves us with one and only logical solution:
| There should have existed an empty space beyond the boundaries of
| that tiny particle of dense mass,in order to facilitate its expansion
| beyond anything imaginable.
| That space should have been infinite,or else it would have ended
| somewhere and coincided with another body of unknown substance of
| timeless and spaceless nature,existing beyond that limited space.
| That timeless and spaceless substance should not have existed in the
| first place,because it should have been infinite and could not have
| left any more room of empty space for any particle to expand beyond
| its restricted boundaries!!!
| Now,if we suppose that there existed no time before the big bang,we
| would have to ask ourselves the only one simple and logical question:
| How long did it take the particle to condense??How long did it remain

| in a static state before its explosion??How long did it take the
| material of its substance to be formed before that
| condensation????And how long!?? And how long!??And so on and so
| forth of many how longs!!!!
| If we assume that this particle came as a result of the condensation
| of some scattered material of unknown substance;or the scattered
| remnants of a previous dead universe,and had moved towards some
| universal gravitational centre of absolute force to condense into one
| tiny particle of dense mass,it would be logical to conclude that that
| movement of those scattered material took place within the frame of
| time and space axis,and not otherwise!!Movement does not exist outside
| the time and space frame.
| That particle could not have popped out of nowhere,outside the axis
| of time and space,and condensed without any further movement inside
| that frame towards an absolute force of gravitation!!!That would be
| scientifically absurd!!!
| In the final analysis,time and space are infinite entities that have
| existed for an eternity,and will continue to exist for another
| eternity.
| Time and space have always been the workshops of infinity to mold
| endless structures of endless material and non_material existences all
| through eternity!!!
| On the other hand,if we acknowledge the second conviction,and believe
| that existence was created by a super deity of heavenly powers,we
| would have to consider one very important possibility:
| That time and space had existed long before the creation of our
| present universe,because there existed a powerful and mighty heavenly
| force prior to that creation,which had an infinite form,and had
| existed for an eternity long before the present creation:that creation
| which is but one small link in an infinite chain of endless creations
| that have been going on and will go on for ever and ever??!!
| If there exists other theories regarding the formation of our
| universe,or other universes,for that matter,they have to follow the
| same line of deductive reasoning that leads to none other conclusions
| than what has already been presented so far,concerning time and place:
| Nothing exists outside the frame of infinite time and
| space;Otherwise,that would only be only mere speculations issuing from
| a frail and limited human mind of limited and ignorant nature!!!

| Matter And The Void

| Everything that exists,including us sentient beings,is only a
| void,that has no material essence whatsoever.
| For matter,is just a three dimensional effect of the void;and
| sentient material existence is nothing more than an awareness,that
| feels the effect of the void,that takes a material form of color,and
| shape,by the deductive foreign mind of the first attention,in a three
| dimensional space,and time.
| Matter,as science defined it,is made up of atoms;and these atoms are
| composed of protons,neutrons,and electrons;the nucleus of the atom
| comprises the proton,and the neutron,which is surrounded by rotating

| electrons.

| Molecules are made up of atoms,joined by the bond between the
| electrons;the molecule of water,for example,is composed of 2 hydrogen
| atoms,and 1 oxygen atom;a drop of water is made up of
| millions of combined water molecules;any body of water is comprised of
| millions of water drops.

| Suppose now that we travel deep into the atom,and view it from
| the inside;the atom would look like something,that resembles the solar
| system:the sun being the nucleus,and the planets,that are rotating
| around it,being the electrons.

| If we measure the volume of the space,that the solar system
| occupies,and compare this volume with the actual volume of the sun and
| its 9 planets,the ratio would be,something like 1 : 1000000.

| The majority of this solar space would be a void,which comprises
| about 99 percent of this volume.

| Now if we take a water molecule,and measure its volume,it would
| be like measuring the space,that the sun occupies with its two nearest
| stars.

| After comparing the volume of this space,with the actual volume
| of the sun,and these two stars,we would get a ratio of much more than
| 1 :1000000000 .

| The majority of the volume,would be a void,which comprises about 99
| percent or more .

| If we take a drop of water,and compare its volume with the volume of
| the atoms,that comprise the drop of water,this would be like comparing
| the volume of the space, that the milky way galaxy occupies
| with the volume of all the stars,that comprises this galaxy.

| In this case,the ratio would be extremely more than
| 1:1000000000000, and the void forms about 99.5 percent.

| Now,if we take the Atlantic Ocean and compare its volume with the
| volume of the corresponding atoms,the comparison would cover
| the whole universe,with all the stars in all the 12000000000 galaxies
| .

| The ratio would jump to 1 versus an infinite number;the majority of
| the volume would be about 99.9 percent of void this time.

| Now,if we try to analyze this 1% of the supposed material volume,the
| trip would take us,still further into the depth of the atom, into
| where the nucleus lies.

| By travelling into the nucleus,and take the proton,for example,and
| compare the volume of this proton,with the volume of its components
| the quarks,the ratio would be something comparable to what has been
| presented up to now in this analysis;the proton would also comprise a
| 99 percent of void too.

| And if we go still deeper,and analyze the quarks in turn,we would
| get the same result;the quark would form more than 99 percent of void
| also.

| If we go still to a much deeper level,and reach an infinitesimal
| dimension,we would find out,that matter doesn't exist in the first
| place .

| And in analyzing the neutron and the electron in the same deductive
| method,we would be more convinced than ever,that what really exist is

| only a void,and that matter,is only an effect of the flux of energy of
| this void,which is but one infinite whole,comprised of no other part,
| but itself,and that everything that exists,is just part of the flux of
| energy of this infinite void;and the flux is none other than the
| infinite field of energy of the of the circle of light,that comprises
| an infinite number of infinitesimal points of awareness attached to
| that infinite flux of live energy.

| This void and its flux of energy,has no description in the deductive
| foreign mind of the first attention;for who can pinpoint the void and
| give it a reasonable and sound description????????!!!!!!!

| If our present material universe is 10 to 20 billion years of age,and
| if we compare that age with the infinite age of the endless space that
| houses this universe,we would conclude that the age of the present
| existing material universe is nill in comparison to the age of the
| endless and everlasting space,that has been standing since eternity
| and will stand still for another eternity.

| And if the present universe is just 10 to 20 billion years of
| age,then what was there 50,or100,or1000 billion years ago?Or a
| trillion years ago?Or a zillion years ago? Or what had existed an
| infinite years ago?

| Was there nothing prior to the present existence of our material
| universe?And if that was the case,why hasn't the universe come into
| existence long before that period?And why 10 to 20 billion years ago
| in particular,when it could have existed 50,or100,or1000,or10,000
| billion or less or more years ago?Or it will have come into existence
| a 1000,or a zillion trillion or more or less years away from now!

| If the universe is going to survive for another 50,or 100 billion or
| less or more years away in the distant future,then would existence
| come to an end after the death of our mortal universe?And would this
| endless and everlasting wide space become empty and steril for
| another eternity,as it is assumed to have been prior to the inception
| of our new born professed universe?

| If the factors that prompted the birth of our universe had been
| appropriate only 10 to 20 billion years ago,then couldn't they have
| been appropriate a zillion or a 1000 zillion or more or less years ago
| before the conception with our beloved universe?Or wouldn't they be
| appropriate too a 1000 trillion zillion or more or less years in the
| future?

| Or if this material existence was created by a heavenly
| deity,couldn't it have created another one long before it had created
| the present one?And wouldn't that divine and almighty creator
| originate another universe shortly or long after the death of ours?And
| why create only one universe,when it could create infinite ones?
| Wouldn't it like to be worshiped by as many universes as there could
| be in existence?

| And wouldn't you like to have more than one baby if you just could
| support them and provide them with their livley needs?

| Or wouldn't you like to become the emperor of the universe instead of
| being only the ruler of planet earth?Oh!!!! I guess you are mighty
| humble to settle only with governing your own country?????????Or

| city???! Or village??! Or street?! Or may be just your own
| temper!right?
| If the universe is 10 to 20 billion cubic light years in volume,why
| would that infinite space be void of any other material universe
| beyond the existing one?
| And if we compare the volume of the present universe with the
| infinite volume of the endless space that surrounds this limited
| nill,we would only conclude that this tiny volume of material
| existence is nothing more than a mere infinitesimal point in
| comparison with that endless and wide_open space that has no limits
| even to measure it with!!!
| Why would that endless space bring forth a tiny bush,while it can
| become swerving with infinite blossoming flowers throughout its
| endless expanse of unlimited space?
| And if the factors were appropriate for material existence to occupy
| a limited portion of that endless ocean of void space,couldn't they
| have also been appropriate for other material volumes to occupy
| endless portions in that limitless expansion of never_ending space?
| And if those same factors were appropriate for material existence to
| occupy a limited portion 10 to 20 billion years ago,then couldn't they
| have been appropriate for matter to occupy that same space a zillion
| or more or less years ago?And wouldn't they be also appropriate for
| any other existence to occupy that same space a trillion or less or
| more years after this universe is long gone?
| Couldn't the physical laws of this universe and the atomic structure
| of its matter have been different from what they are in the present
| existence?And if that was all true,couldn't there have existed other
| material occupations with different physical laws and atomic
| structures before the existence of the present one?Or wouldn't there
| have existed other different ones during the existence of the present
| one?And won't there exist more different ones after the death of the
| present one???
| And couldn't there have existed other material volumes with similar
| laws and atomic structures before the existence of the present one?Or
| wouldn't there have existed other similar ones during the existence of
| the present one?And won't there exist other similar ones after the
| death of the present one????
| Has the beginning of the present universe started 10 to 20 billion
| years ago,after the big bang of that extremely heavy particle of dense
| matter took place?Or has it started before that,when that dense matter
| began to unite and condense?And how long did it take that particle of
| dense matter to condense and explode as a result of the huge pressure
| that had become too large to keep its particles together any longer??
| Or wouldn't it be possible to assume that that dense and heavily
| condensed particle were the remnants of another previous deceased
| universe,which had expended its potential energy of atomic bonding,and
| had decomposed into loose matter,that had reassembled and aligned
| itself because of an inherent factor,that had enabled it to
| reconstruct itself again into one heavily condensed particle,under an
| extreme field of gravitational force?
| Or wouldn't it be possible that the huge force of gravity that had

| attracted and condensed the matter of the big bang in the first
| place,would also attract and condense the dead matter of this universe
| after its fading away 50 or 100 billion years from now? And wouldn't
| that be similar to what happens in an underwater explosion,when the
| sucking pressure of the vaccumed sphere resulting from the explosion
| crumbles down and redirects the pressure to the centre of the
| explosion??
| And last but not least,couldn't it be possible that this same matter
| of the present universe may have undergone an infinite process of
| expanding and condensing,before the existence of the present form of
| our universe?And wouldn't it be feasible and sane to think that that
| process will go on for another infinite period of intensity of
| composition and decomposition??
| And could there have also been other processes of intensity with
| other material occupations of a different order before,during,and
| after this present occupation,and other intensity processes with other
| material occupations of similar orders before,during,and after the
| present occupation too???
| That is the big question??

|
| In the year 1960,a universal being revealed the mysteries and magical
| wonders of existence and awareness.learn about the second and third
| attentions that human beings can attain at:
| http://groups.yahoo.com/group/The_Path_Of_Eternity/
| <http://groups.msn.com/ThePathOfEternity>
| <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/ThePathOfEternity>
| Thank you for your patience and understanding.Peace be with you.
| Notice:To join a yahoo group,you must use a yahoo ID.And to join an
| msn group,you must use an msn ID.But to join a smart group,you can use
| any ID.
| And any IDiot can join an idiot group. :-)
| Androcles.