

Re: Longer Geodesics in Gravity Eric?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/1668.html>

From: Eric Gisse (*fseggNO!SPAM_at_uaf.edu*)

Date: 08/07/04

Date: Sat, 07 Aug 2004 13:32:11 -0800

On 7 Aug 2004 03:30:38 -0700, macromitch@internetCDS.com (Mitchell) wrote:

>Eric Gisse <*fseggNO!SPAM@uaf.edu*> wrote in message
news:<*9nj8h0htlo4j52fak6k8hmgc8fd6qudtgf@4ax.com*>...
>> On 6 Aug 2004 20:18:36 -0700, macromitch@internetCDS.com (Mitchell)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Eric Gisse <*fseggNO!SPAM@uaf.edu*> wrote in message
news:<*pdr7h0hhgn16gvdulqts60igr2da5i7933@4ax.com*>...
>> >> On 6 Aug 2004 11:40:34 -0700, macromitch@internetCDS.com (Mitchell)
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> *Idiot stop spawning new threads.*
>> >>
>> >> >*You claim the delay to light in the Shapiro effect is due to a longer
>> >> >geodesic?*
>> >>
>> >> *Basically.*
>> >> >*If that were true then taking it to its logical conclusion there would
>> >> >be an infinite delay caused by an infinite geodesic at the event horizon
>> >> >of a black hole.*
>> >>
>> >> *Ok. Im grabbing the mathematical shotgun this time.*
>> >*Put away your bible Eric.*
>> >*Just show me that the geodesic doesn't become infinite if it gets
>> >longer in stronger gravity.*
>> >*If this is the cause of the delay of light in gravity then by
>> >your own prediction an infinite delay would be caused by
>> >an infinite geodesic Right?*
>> >*HogTied Eric.*
>> >*Mitch Raemsch*
>> > -- *Light Slows* --
>> > -- *Light Falls* --
>>
>> *Since you insist on commenting on GR, I must use GR when you are
>> incorrect.*
>>

sci.physics.relativity: Re: Longer Geodesics in Gravity Eric?

>> *You aren't even amusing as an idiot anymore.*

>

>*Got you Eric. You can't do it.*

>*Nobody can.*

You are right! Nobody can do what you ask when you ignore the answers given. Sorry for trying to confuse you with facts...it won't happen again.