

Re: GOD=G_uv A MESSAGE TO "Max"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/3291.html>

From: George Hammond (*research137_at_hotmail.com*)

Date: 08/16/04

Date: Mon, 16 Aug 2004 01:40:13 GMT

"Scot McDermid" <scotmc@optNOSPAMonline.net> wrote in message
news:hEOTc.3123\$5b4.1682241@news4.srv.hcvlhy.cv.net...

>

> "Alan" <gper@mindless.com> wrote in message

> news:847702a8.0408150825.475d0257@posting.google.com...

> *I agree with Alan. The God of the Bible is not Hammond's Guv.*

>

[Hammond]

Alan's arguments have been totally trashed by the following reply from
Hammond:

"Alan" <gper@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:847702a8.0408150825.475d0257@posting.google.com...

> [snip]

> > > *So maybe you could start with one simple*

> > > *question: Did God create the universe?*

> >

> > [Hammond]

> > *YES, unequivocally, YES. God DID create the universe*

> > *and its time you understood what they are talking about*

> > *when they say that.....!!*

> [snip]

>

>

>

> > *What they're talking about when they say "God created*

> > *the universe" is THIS:*

> > *The human brain creates OUR IMAGE of actual physical*

> > *reality. Without this image there IS NO REALITY.*

>

>

> *But actual physical reality exists without this image. I fully expect*

> *actual physical reality to exist even after we're all dead. Don't you?*

[Hammond]

Contrary to your expectations, the ANSWER IS NO !!!
The World would not exist if we were all dead!

First, let me say I am exceedingly grateful to have your focused attention on this CRUCIAL issue.

This point is PROVEN using advanced physics and experimental evidence and has been published. I PRESUME that you are not a trained scientist, however I will therefore proceed to try and explain this point HEURISTICALLY and see if I can get through to you. I APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION!

First, let us examine what the statement "the world would exist even if we were all dead" actually means. What it means is "if we were suddenly to reappear, the world would still be here". But this negates the original proposition which says that we are all dead. So we see that the statement is actually a contradiction in terms. The statement is meaningless.

There is a simple reason why the statement is meaningless. The fact is that the world "exists" actually means:

"exists" = "is existing" = "is observable by a human being"

obviously, if there "are no human beings" it is NOT observable by a human being. In FACT:

The "existence" of something depends sine qua non on the "existence" of a human being. There is no such thing as "existence" without the existence of a human being to "create its existence". "Existence" is a physical property of the human perceptual system.

The reason for this is that Science CANNOT define "mass, length and time" EXCEPT by reason of human "intuition". There IS NO definition of "mass, length and time" other than the process of holding up a brass cylinder of "mass" and a platinum rod of "length" and asking for a "majority vote of intuitive opinion" as to whether these represent EXAMPLES of what is commonly "opined" to be "mass, length and time". Any physicist will confirm this. The concept and "definition" of "mass, length and time" is SOLELY BASED on the existence of a HUMAN PERCEPTUAL INSTINCT. They have no "existence" apart from the perceptual system of a human being!

Ergo.... if all human beings die tomorrow, MASS, LENGTH AND TIME die with them!!!! The "universe" and "reality itself" dies with them. Of crucial importance, is that "TIME" itself does not exist without the existence of Man. Therefore, "TIME" itself was "CREATED" when Man was created, 40,000 years ago. This "creation of time" includes a 15 Billion year old "Big Bang" and billion year old fossils. "Age" is not the same thing as "time itself". "time itself" was created when Man was created, and "Time itself" was CREATED 40,000 years ago and already contained

a 15 billion year history!!

Now... I shouldn't think that this is beyond your comprehension. And I would point out it was obvious to many great men long before the invention of modern science. For instance in 300 AD Saint Augustine said it himself when he said:

"the world was created WITH time, not IN time."
(Saint Augustine)

He understood EXACTLY what I have just explained to you, and which is now PROVEN by modern science.

>
>
>> *So therefore.... the "creation of the brain" is a*
>> *"creation of reality". This is what the "Biblical Creation"*
>> *actually is..... the "creation of the brain" 40,000 years ago.*
>
>
> *But that isn't what anyone else that I know of believes.*

[Hammond]
Saint Augustine believed it. The entire Christian Science Church in modern times, believes it. Hammond has now SCIENTIFICALLY PROVED it.

> *We all KNOW*
> *that we have our "personal reality", which first started when we*
> *became cognizant of ourselves and the world around us. So? "Creation"*
> *is understood, by everyone else that I know of, to represent actual*
> *physical reality.*

[Hammond]
All we really know is that the human perceptual system creates an "image we call reality).... i.e. the 5 senses. What the absolute nature of what it is perceiving is, we have no way of knowing. All we really KNOW is that we can't know anything beyond the "perceptual map" produced by our 5 senses.... and if our 5 senses all die tomorrow.... then this perceptual construct called "reality' disappears with us.

>
>>
>> *Now, its true that "actual physical reality" was created*
>> *15-billion years ago in the Big Bang.*
>
>
> *And that's the REAL question about God's existence, since theists*
> *believe that God created actual physical reality. Did He (She? It?) or*

> *didn't He?*

[Hammond]

"Absolute physical reality" is only a convenient hypothesis... in fact it is an "unobservable". the only thing we observe is "perceptual reality" and it is a know fact that "perceptual reality" disappears if man disappears.

Of course... let me anticipate the PRACTICALITY of this statement by pointing out that "human perceptual reality" can be MODIFIED by brain growth, since human perceptual reality depends crucially on the BRAIN. This is the practical point of all this discussion, because it turns out that "brain growth" crucially modifies "perceptual reality" and this PHENOMENON is the CAUSE of both RELIGION and GOD!

And THAT is why we are actually here talking about all this... NOT because it is of some abstract Philosophical importance!

>

> >

> > *But that doesn't matter.*

>

>

> *It may not matter to YOU. Since everyone else that I know of is concerned, in the question of God's existence, with the creation of the actual physical universe, it DOES matter.*

[Hammond]

NO.. it doesn't matter to St. Augustine, and it doesn't matter to the Christian religion and its 1.3 billion followers.. The only people it matters to are a handful of misguided scientific hobbyists and woefully uninformed counter culture enthusiast like you.

>

>

> > *Until MAN is created (40,000 years ago) to SEE IT..... then it doesn't EXIST. You can't have an "OBSERVABLE" without and "OBSERVER".*

>

>

> *Sounds like the Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics, which HAS NOT been "proven", nor is it universally accepted by top-notch physicists – in fact, nowadays the majority of top-notch physicists would probably not support this view. If you CALL something "observable", then you are REFERRING to it as being able to be observed, which implies an observer. That's mere definition, a tautology. If nothing is "observable" because no observers exist, you have nowhere demonstrated that actual physical reality does not exist – and it IS actual physical reality that everyone else is referring to when they speak of creation. We KNOW our own, individual, perceived "universes" would not exist if we did not – that is OBVIOUS – "my" universe would not exist if "I" did not – but "creation", by everyone else's definition, refers to "the" universe (the actual physical one),*

> *rather than our own individually perceived "universes".*

[Hammond]

You're simply going around and around in circles with your erroneous tautology. The fact that you need an OBSERVER to define the term OBSERVABLES in physics predates quantum mechanics by many centuries. PHYSICS has simply never concerned itself with the question of the "existence of the observer". However, it turns out there IS a question of the "existence of the observer" and this is called the "theory of God" and it has now achieved a SCIENTIFIC PROOF by Hammond 2003.

>

> >

> > *The "OBSERVABLE" was created 15–Billion years ago, but the*

> > *"OBSERVER" was only created 40,000 years ago.*

> > *The first is called the "Big Bang"*

> > *The second is called "Biblical Creation".*

>

>

> *But in Biblical Creation, God created Man, pre–existed Man, and was in*

> *no way dependent on Man for his own existence. How does this*

> *reconcile with your theory?*

[Hammond]

A. God created himself

B. God created Man at the same time as himself

because he created "time itself" when he created Man and the World. And this is called "Creation".

>

> > *BOTH are absolutely scientifically REAL.*

>

>

> *That human observers only came on the scene recently (relative to the*

> *Big Bang) is incontrovertible. Calling THIS "Biblical Creation" has*

> *not, to anyone's satisfaction but your own, been shown to be*

> *"scientifically real" –*

[Hammond]

Sorry, Saint Augustine and Bishop Ussher, and the Bible, and the Christian Science Church more recently, ALL strongly disagree with you and SUPPORT ME.

so quit saying I stand alone.... fact is the entire history of both Science and religion support me fully.

> *and that has nothing to do with relativity,*

> *psychometry, or even religion. You seem to be trying to "theorize" the*

> *universe into being in accord with your own, perceived reality –*

[Hammond]

No.... that's just one of you PRESUMPTIONS and CHERISHED HOPES, turns out it has been dashed to smithereens by my discovery of an axiomatic experimentally proven hard scientific proof. Sorry..... yawn....

- > *while*
- > *everyone else, besides druggies, try to adjust their perceived*
- > *realities to be in accord with the universe.*

[Hammond]

Unfortunately for you... the only hope for the survival of your pre-scientific proof beliefs is now to harass me as vigorously as possible and try to SUPPRESS the discovery.

you DO apparently have the support of your handlers the scientific establishment).

You will have to use the internet to muster as many hecklers, stalkers, kooks,

nuts and radicals as you possible can to try and destroy my reputation and prevent

publication in the leading journals.

However, your efforts seem to be slipping:

1. The theory has been published in the peer reviewed literature and is already sitting in hundreds of copies in libraries and subscribers hands
2. 2 million people have visited my websites in the past 4 years and the theory God=G_uv is already widely known
3. Scores of the world's leading scientists including Chris Isham, Stephen Unwin, the Vatican Observatory and many others have already commented on the discovery.

If you're going to make a serious effort to suppress and discredit this discovery, you're already running behind the clock.

=====

SCIENTIFIC PROOF OF GOD WEBSITE

1st mirror site:
http://geocities.com/scientific_proof_of_god

2nd mirror site:
<http://proof-of-god.freewebsitehosting.com>

new site (under construction):
<http://home.comcast.net/~proof-of-god>

=====