

Re: GOD=G_uv A MESSAGE TO "Max"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/3339.html>

From: ZenIsWhen (ZenIsWhen_at_anywhere.com)

Date: 08/16/04

Date: Mon, 16 Aug 2004 01:30:23 -0400

"Scot McDermid" <scotmc@optNOSPAMonline.net> wrote in message
news:rdVTc.367\$vc4.154343@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...

>

> "George Hammond" <research137@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1gUTc.131845\$8_6.45397@attbi_s04...

>

> Alan asked:

>>> *But actual physical reality exists without this image. I fully expect
>>> actual physical reality to exist even after we're all dead. Don't you?*

>>

>> [Hammond]

>>> *Contrary to your expectations, the ANSWER IS NO !!!*

>>> *The World would not exist if we were all dead!*

>

> *Aha! Well that actually ties a lot of your theory together.*

> *What you are saying might actually be internally consistent.*

> *Internally consistent but based on that false premise.*

>

> *Existence is not subjective. Existence is objective.*

> *Now I'm sure I won't be able to prove that to you.*

>

>>> *First, let me say I am exceedingly grateful to have your focused
>>> attention on this CRUCIAL issue.*

>

> *Yes... it is crucial. Your whole theory rests on it.*

>

>>> *The fact is*

>>> *that the world "exists" actually means:*

>>>

>>> *"exists" = "is existing" = "is observable by a human being"*

>>>

>>> *Yes "is *observable*" but when there is no human present*

>>> *to observe it, would you say that it continues to exist?*

>>> *Would you say it (pick an object) blip in and out of existence?*

>>>

>>> *The reason for this is that Science CANNOT define "mass, length and
>>> time" EXCEPT by reason of human "intuition". There IS NO*

- > > *definition of "mass, length and time" other than the process of holding*
- > > *up a brass cylinder of "mass" and a platinum rod of "length" and asking*
- > > *for a "majority vote*
- > > *of intuitive opinion" as to whether these represent EXAMPLES of*
- > > *what is commonly "opined" to be "mass, length and time". Any physicist*
- > > *will confirm this.*
- >
- > *Yes.. I'll actually agree. Without human to define mass, length*
- > *and time, then these concepts would not exist.*
- >
- > > *The concept and "definition" of "mass, length and time"*
- > > *is SOLELY BASED on the existence of a HUMAN PERCEPTUAL*
- > > *INSTINCT. They have no "existence" apart from the perceptual*
- > > *system of a human being!*
- >
- > *I'll agree.*
- >
- > > *Ergo.... if all human beings die tomorrow, MASS, LENGTH AND TIME*
- > > *die with them!!!!*
- >
- > *Yes. I agree.*
- >
- > > *The "universe" and "reality itself" dies with them.*
- >
- > *No, wrong. That does not necessarily follow.*
- > *Human conceptions would die. That brass*
- > *cylinder of "mass" and platinum rod of "length"*
- > *you mentioned above would continue to exist.*
- > *There would simply be no one there to know*
- > *the significance of those objects.*

You're being sane, rational, reasonable, logical and even civil.
Sadly, that will all be lost on Hammond!