

## Re: The Emptiness of Theology

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/3340.html>

---

**From:** Dale Trynor (*dalet\_at\_nbnet.nb.ca*)

**Date:** 08/16/04

Date: Mon, 16 Aug 2004 05:35:56 GMT

N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc) wrote:

> *Dear Dale Trynor:*

>

> *"Dale Trynor" <dalet@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message*

> *news:IJVTc.95720\$Np3.4609618@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...*

>

>> *N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc) wrote:*

>>

>>> *Dear Dale Trynor:*

>>>

[snip]

>> *Dale Trynor wrote;*

>> *I didn't know that thanks. Did have Roger Penrows book someplace, only*

>> *read some of it. Noted he didn't think computers would ever become*

>> *Consciousness and that irritated me a bit. What wise person would ever*

>> *say never especially since never is a really really long time and when*

>> *they do make such claims written in stone they always seam to end up*

>> *wrong. I wouldn't have minded so much if he just said computers as they*

>> *are now as I might reluctantly agree with a touch of uncertainty.*

>

>

> *Civilization has to stay at a certain level long enough for the computer to*

> *develop sentience.*

Dale Trynor wrote:

They might need to take another direction in evolution before sentience becomes possible and it dosent seam likely with just scale and speed alone.

But since you brought up the subject.

A speculation based on a theory I have been promoting shows the possibility for a civilization that could indeed be old enough. You must have seen it by now its the one where I argue that the sort of time dilation you get around a black hole should contract matter and all references on length in such a way that you end up measuring more space.

What the theory leads to is the possibility that we are inside a black hole within an other older universe with plenty of time for such civilizations to have evolved. They would have needed to have migrated to our universe of course, if you want a theory where they take over the job of god.

In some sense Mary Shelley's "Frankenstein" was about  
> *life brought into the lifeless. And it was \*nothing\* like the movies of*  
> *the 1900s. I was thrilled to see the depiction of Frakenstein's monster in*  
> *the movie "Van Helsing", as it was more true to Mary Shelley's intent.*

I would like to see the distant future where it may actually be possible to use nanotechnology to actually resurrect the dead. Bacteria in reverse sort of thing and its best providing enough brain mass remains to recreate some of the persons original personality. Unless they are programed to improvise in that case its other possibilitys also. This is a bit too far off to do us much good but I could think up some good sci.fi involving that.

[snip]

>>>*one needed. Its quite possible that even insects might provide enough*  
>>>*info and they are commonly not even considered to have brains alto honey*  
>>>*bees and earth worms have a bit of one I have read. If they experience*  
>>>*color for example its likely to be with the same process.*  
>  
>  
> *What you could call a soul cannot be constrained to "meat", so it cannot be*  
> *found in meat, and cannot be located activating meat. Indications are that*  
> *we are transcendent.*

Why do you say this, I just dont understand. We think we feel and our brain is organic alto not exactly meat. If its not there where is it.

>  
>  
>>> *We are or are not more than the "meat", and experiment cannot do*  
>>>*more than investigate the meat.*  
>>  
>>*You may indeed be right however given a creative mind and some good*  
>>*information one never knows what theorys might develop and if they are*  
>>*good then it can in turn lead to some decent experiment.*  
>  
>  
> *"Do not seek him without." The crib does not contain the Creator.*

A crib can easily contain the child and its mind, no creator need be involved or at least not in that part.

You dont think we are telaoperators do you with our souls and minds elsewhere. Why or how could that be. I do know if we were in the Mantrex like world we would have no way to know, thats probably true, but dosent

seam very likely. And it wouldn't change the need to contain a mind in some sort of material or structure. If it were meat would it stop working.  
[snip]

I am really

>>*behind on this subject.*

>

>

> *Neural nets might achieve the results desired. But there is a lot of  
> machinery built into the brain, that is more specialized.*

It will be awhile before one has anything more than big walking bugs, but they would be a useful alternative to just horses.

[snip]

>>*how would you know if only the mind itself has all of the memories that*

>>*tell us how long we are who we are and we cannot if its a lie.*

>

>

> *No reason that it has to be any finite time at all for a given "spark".*

> *For the most part, a lot of people are "running on automatic" now...*

We dont know much here at all.

>

>

>>*Who is to say if the mind might be no more than a wet sponge in an ocean*

>>*of moist air and then trying to guess at the resident times of its*

>>*individual water molecules. We just dont know and can only assume.*

>>*I dont even know if it would be a good thing to know if one cant do*

>>*anything about it.*

>

>

> *Would it matter if you could change nothing?*

Yes it would. If my soul quanta were very brief one has more reason to live for today than save ones money and work at an unpleasant job for the benefit of tomorrow when another soul occupys ones entirety.

Do you ever rent movies, and

> *watch them? Don't you enjoy the stories, even watch some of them over and*

> *over? I feel like everything in our world are "facets" of a higher*

> *existence. The concepts of recording history, a play, movies, automobiles,*

> *even games are grey illusions of what brought us here.*

>

>

>>*I am fairly sure that future technology might be able to find a way of*

>>*controlled reincarnation if one could find a way to contain all of ones*

>>*soul quanta. It may be necessary for experience to remain in effect for*

>>*this containment to work however its doubtful if one would require*

>>*thinking or anything even mildly complex. Its quite possible that one*

>>*might be experiencing for example the color green and thats would be all*

>>*thats required for containment.*

>

>

> *No machine can touch what animates you. Reincarnation is milk from dead*

> *cows. (Think about it... there is a joke in there.)*

>

> *David A. Smith*

>

>

I would welcome an alternative not only for myself but those I care about. It would be great to have some choice on ones next life. To think otherwise is to lack imagination.

One thing that always confused me about fundamentalists is they preference to grow old die and go to heaven where they can live forever but at the same time any sort of immortality is evil.

Sense you appear to be interested in spiritual subjects why dont you take a closer look at the theory where I examine the possibility for an advanced civilization developing to the point where they could have created god. Its big on speculation and its honest about that, however its also has a real possibility. I called it Drake equationism based on the same statistical possibility for other advanced civilizations and its sort of a religion for people with a higher IQ. Drakes equation has been extended a bit here because of the theory mentioned above with a much older universe than ours, making such older and far more advanced civilizations more probable. Most of the theory is just about experimental support for time dilated space expansion and these byproduct ideas were just accidental. That older universe will have been long dead of heat death by now forcing migration if it was possible. I just posted on it recently again somewhere on sci.physics and it was probably cross posted as well, but am too lazy now to look it up.  
Dale