

Re: The Principle of Least Action and God.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-08/4417.html>

From: Mitchell (macromitch_at_internetCDS.com)

Date: 08/20/04

Date: 20 Aug 2004 10:28:37 -0700

Bjoern Feuerbacher <feuerbac@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de> wrote in message news:<[cg4anf\\$nih\\$7@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:cg4anfnih7@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de)>...

> *Mitchell wrote:*

> > *Bjoern Feuerbacher <feuerbac@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de> wrote in message news:<[cg24lj\\$6ke\\$2@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:cg24lj$6ke$2@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de)>...*

> >

> >> *Woodridge wrote:*

> >>

> >> *[snip]*

> >>

> >>

> >>> *I report in the following some *explicit* words *written* by Einstein.*

> >>> *The English title of the work is "How I see the world". I have an*

> >>> *Italian version and I am going to translate from it, but I have no doubt*

> >>> *that if you check the English version you'll find the same concepts and*

> >>> *contents if not the exact words (I apologise in advance for any error*

> >>> *and imperfection in the translation).*

> >>>

> >>> ******

> >>>

> >>> *... I cannot imagine a God rewarding and punishing the object of his*

> >>> *creation, and moreover a God exerting his will in the same way as we do*

> >>> *on ourselves...*

> >>>

> >>> *... the human spirit imagines gods more or less similar to us, from*

> >>> *whose will and actions the events depend... I call this religion the*

> >>> *religion of terror...*

> >>>

> >>> *...these religions have a common point: an anthropomorphic God: beyond*

> >>> *this level there are only very noble men...*

> >>>

> >>> *...there is another level of the religious life... the cosmic*

> >>> *religion... no anthropomorphic God idea corresponds to it... we can*

> >>> *found men filled by this kind of religion... such as Democrito, S.*

> >>> *Francesco d'Assisi, SPINOZA...*

> >>>

> >>> *...the existence of a superior mind which manifest itself in the natural*

> >>> *world is FOR ME the idea of God; in the current language it can be*

> >>> called PANTHEISM (SPINOZA).
> >>>
> >>> ***
> >>>
> >>> Of course, I extracted some sentences (in sequence), but I can assure
> >>> you that reading the integral text gives the same feeling. In
> >>> particular, a kind of negative judgement on the "traditional" ideas of
> >>> God is very evident. You can trust me, but anyway you can easily check
> >>> on the English version of the book.
> >>> (Anyway, the last sentence does not leave any doubt about the Einstein's
> >>> belief).
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> Thanks for providing this!
> >>
> >>
> >
> > So he did think pantheism was close to what he believed.
>
> Err, no. What he describes above *is* essentially pantheism. As he says
> himself!!!
>
>
> > But
> > Einstein said that the longer he lived the stronger his belief in a
> > creator was. From that pantheistic viewpoint he is his creation.
> > I can go for that.
>
> So, do you retract your earlier assertions?
>
>
> Bye,
> Bjoern

You can't stand that he believed in a creator can you Bjoern?
Einstein believed in a creator. And here's my quote:
"I want to know how God created this world. I want to know his thoughts
the rest are details."

Now you tell me Einstein didn't believe in God again Bjoern.
You can't do it.
Mitch Raemsch

-- Light Falls --