

## Re: What before big bang?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-09/2251.html>

---

**From:** Bjoern Feuerbacher ([feuerbac\\_at\\_thphys.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:feuerbac_at_thphys.uni-heidelberg.de))

**Date:** 09/08/04

Date: Wed, 08 Sep 2004 16:23:39 +0200

YBM wrote:

> *Bjoern Feuerbacher a écrit :*

>

>> *So, what do you think – are the Bogdanovs genuinely deluded and believe*

>> *that they have really discovered something valuable, or is this all a*

>> *giant fraud? That they misquote and misrepresent scientists seems to*

>> *support the latter possibility – but I've already seen many honest*

>> *people misquoting and misrepresenting things, due to being totally*

>> *deluded and simply not being able to see what they are doing wrong.*

>

>

> *I think they are genuinely deluded and believe that they have not only*

> *discovered something valuable, but discovered the \*most important thing\**

> *since the beginning of science : a algebraic proof of the existence of*

> *God (they did wrote a terrible book years ago about God and the Big*

> *Bang, where they were "interviewed" by a second-class mystic french*

> *philosopher).*

Oh my goodness. This becomes worse and worse.

It would be nice to see them discussing their "proof" with George Hammond ("God = G\_munu"), Mitchell ("Science without God can't explain how the universe came into existence"), and, for having a counterpoint, Franco ("Science does not need of God"). ;:-)

> *Even if they are more than fifty years old now, they never escaped from*

> *their college years when they were considered as genius. They spent*

> *then ten years as TV show animators and went to University quite late,*

> *unfortunately as their thesis director said, he had a hard time trying*

> *to convince them they weren't Einstein. They jumped above basic*

> *mathematics and physics, and went directly to postgraduate mathematical*

> *physics, it is a very bad sign for this field that two people educated*

> *enough to write some "impressionist" work but completely unable to grasp*

> *elementary points such as topology of real numbers, complex numbers*

> *constructions, classical physics and Special Relativity (their is*

> *exactly \*no\* valid statement on SR in their book : their is \*no\* single*

> *sentence where words like "event", "space-time", "light cone", etc.*

> *are used without deep confusion and plain wrong statements)*

I see...

- > *IMHO, they justify to themselves, even the worse things they did like*
- > *"misquoting" scientist in their book, using multiple names on Usenet,*
- > *intimidations, lies and unfair use of their job as a TV animators, by*
- > *he mystic importance of their work, and the conviction that their minds*
- > *are one level ahead of the rest of us, including scientists not only*
- > *like Baez, Schreiber, Woit, but also Alain Connes (Field medal) and*
- > *Georges Charpak (Nobel prize). Just have a look to the incredible letter*
- > *they sent to Urs Schreiber after he's protested to have been "misquoted"*
- > *(Schreiber's comment can be read on his blog :*
- > <http://golem.ph.utexas.edu/string/archives/000377.html> )

Thanks, I already looked at Schreiber's comment.

- > > *Dear Mr Shreiber,*
- > >
- > > *Considering the amount of books (Avant le Big Bang) that has been sold*
- > > *last week, a new lot of books has been printed 2 days ago.*
- > >
- > > *Following your unexpected reaction,*

Unexpected???

- > > *we have decided to add the*
- > > *missing phrase where you precise "Just to make sure...etc".*

Well, that's at least a shred of honesty.

- > > *In fact, we really do not think that it is a good idea to add this*
- > > *phrase : now your technical point appears much weaker and (since he*
- > > *feels a strong contradiction) the reader gets quite suspicious about*
- > > *your judgement. In a way, instead of being a neutral expert, you*
- > > *suddenly appear as someone who cannot overcome its own contradictory*
- > > *position.*

Oh my goodness. I really wonder what is going on in their heads.

- > > *Too bad, but it was your choice.*
- > >
- > > *Best,*
- > >
- > > *I/G Bogdanoff*
- > >
- > > *PS The book is going to be sold in the US and various other countries.*
- > > *We have 2 options :*
- > >
- > > *1. We publish your text with the correction*
- > > *2. We suppress it.*
- > >
- > > *Tell us your choice.*

Re: What before big bang?

sci.physics.relativity: Re: What before big bang?

>

>

> *Writing such a scandalous letter, and making it public on the Web, would*

> *make no sense if they were conscient fraudsters. They are living in a*

> *fantasy world for too long for them to take contact with reality*

> *anymore.*

Yes, that's quite clear.

Thanks for all the info!

Bye,  
Bjoern