

Re: Is Lorentz contraction objectively real?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-09/3623.html>

From: Creighton Hogg (wchogg_at_hep.wisc.edu)

Date: 09/13/04

Date: Mon, 13 Sep 2004 11:18:25 -0500

On Mon, 13 Sep 2004, Gregory L. Hansen wrote:

- > *In article <4144ebfb@sys13.hou.wt.net>,*
- > *Eugene Shubert <<http://www.everythingimportant.org>> wrote:*
- >
- > *>Lorentz contraction is coordinate dependent. It is subjective,*
- > *>misleading and not objectively real.*
- >
- > *Frame dependent, not coordinate dependent.*
- >
- > *And it's just like velocity in Newtonian mechanics, which is also frame*
- > *dependent. That means velocity is subjective, misleading, and not*
- > *objectively real.*
- >
- > *Whatever shall we do?*

Get stinking drunk and try running into walls? If velocity is misleading and not objectively real, then neither is kinetic energy.