

Re: God=G_uv proves 40k B.C. Creation

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-09/5222.html>

From: bv_schornak (*nowhere_at_schornak.de*)

Date: 09/19/04

Date: Sun, 19 Sep 2004 22:21:35 +0000 (UTC)

Timberwoof wrote:

>bv_schornak wrote:

>

>>Timberwoof wrote:

>>

>>>bv_schornak wrote:

>>>

>>>>George Hammond wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>stew dean wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>>>The point is the brain is a 3-axis mirror symmetirc construct

>>>>>>>which begins at the 8 cell stage in embryology (1st 3 cleavages).

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Let's review that again – the brain is roughly symmetrical along one

>>>>>>>axis, as is the rest of the body. You have DNA to thank for this.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>[Hammond]

>>>>>>>Really? I'm ponly claiming the Left-right symmetry of the

>>>>>>>body comes from the first cleavage of the Egg (a fact proved

>>>>>>>by Roux, Conklin and others). You just stated that it comes

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>from DNA.... what makes you think that?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>You've already forgotten that we get two complete (but half

>>>>>>>sized) organisms, if we separate both cells after the first

>>>>>>>cleavage? And four quarter sized organisms after the second

>>>>>>>cleavage? George, George...

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Where else than in the DNA should the information about the

>>>>>>>final organism sit?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>> *Mitochondrial DNA, chemical environment during gestation, and the whole
>>> environment as the being grows up.*
>>>
>>>
>> *Isn't the Mitochondrial DNA just a "copy" of the original
>> double helix?*
>>
>>
>
> *Nope. It is DNA that is separate from the nuclear DNA. It is believe that these
> two sources of DNA stem from two separate lines of single-celled species which
> merged to form a new type of life. The same thing is believed to hae happened in
> plants: chloroplasts are analogous to mitochondria.*
>

I see. Obvious, the "egg" and "sperm", merging together...

You know much more in this field than I do!

>> *Dunno, but I think it is valid for the cells
>> after the 1st cleavage. [Hammond] claims that the symmetry
>> of the organism is determined by those two cells, which is
>> not true – <<http://www.devbio.com/article.php?ch=3&id=15>>.
>> The article says that until the _third_ cleavage the cells
>> are able to grow to a fully developed organism with 1/2 or
>> 1/4 of the normal size, if we separate the cells from each
>> other.*
>>
>>
>
> *Hmmm. Identical twins form this way. But I do not think they are born
> half-szied.*
>

Right in both cases (my sisters are monozygotic twins).

Having a look at this site

<<http://tidepool.st.usm.edu/crswr/cleavage.html>>,

[Hammond]'s theory is stabbed one more time. The deter-
minate cleavage type (bottom line) does not look really
symmetric in the horizontal plane...

Greetings from Augsburg

Bernhard Schornak