

## Re: Define a clock

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-10/1339.html>

---

**From:** Androcles (*androc1es\_at\_nospamblueyonder.co.uk*)

**Date:** 10/04/04

Date: Mon, 04 Oct 2004 20:05:41 GMT

"AllYou!" <idaman@conversent.net> wrote in message  
news:VfqndqHGu44NPzcRVn-vA@conversent.net...

|  
| "sal" <pragmatist@nospam.org> wrote in message  
| news:pan.2004.10.04.18.55.16.453817@nospam.org...  
| > On Mon, 04 Oct 2004 14:35:44 -0400, AllYou! wrote:

| >  
| >> [ ... ] just because Dirk and his alter-ego [ ... ]

| >  
| > Whoa, this is a new one!!

| >  
| > You mean there are two of him? Or has the master of sock detection  
| > supposedly been caught wearing one?

| >  
| > Who's Dirk's alter-ego?

|  
| Bill Hobba. Two peas in a pod. Neither is willing to provide a  
| definition  
| of a clock.

So? You are not willing to provide a derivation of your  
"Time on a linear clock in S' as compared to it's twin in S as both observed  
from S:

$$[T = \gamma(T' + vL'/c^2)]$$

Two peas in a pod. Ergo it is "AllYou" that is Dinky's alter-ego.  
Androcles

|