

Re: God=G_uv proves 40k B.C. Creation

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-10/1684.html>

From: George Hammond (*nowhere_at_nomailspam.com*)

Date: 10/06/04

Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2004 02:50:15 +0000 (UTC)

"stew dean" <stewart@webslave.dircon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2b68957a.0410041518.5b497aa1@posting.google.com...

> "George Hammond" <nowhere@nomailspam.com> wrote in message
news:<15-dnUVpYd8LFzcRVn-hQ@comcast.com>...

>> "stew dean" <stewart@webslave.dircon.co.uk> wrote in message

>>> news:2b68957a.0410040238.23ae42ae@posting.google.com...

>>>> "George Hammond" <nowhere@nomailspam.com> wrote in message

>>>>> news:<GsKdnYViRIwGXsLcRVn-gg@comcast.com>...

>>>>>

>>>>>> Isaac Newton is famous for saying:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "In lieu of all other evidence, I take the
human

>>>>>>> thumb

>>>>>>>> to be sufficient proof of God."

>>>>>>>> (Isaac Newton)

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Isaac Newton was often wrong, again I mention Alchemy. Also the
above

>>>>>>>> is an argument from incredibility. Or to put it another way –
that's

>>>>>>>> so amazing it must be designed! Not an arguement but a lack of
one.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> [Hammond]

>>>>>>>> Yeah well you're just a techonerd who doesn't know the metaphorical

>>>>>>>> symbolism of the thumb e.g.:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> thumbing a ride

>>>>>>>>> under ones thumb

>>>>>>>>> thumbs up

>>>>>>>>> green thumb

>>>>>>>>> all thumbs

>>>>>>>>> thumbnail sketch

>>>>>>>>> rule of thumb

>>>>>>>>> Tom Thumb

>>>>>>>>> thumb thru a book

> > > > *twiddling your thumbs*
> > > > *suck your thumb*
> > > > *thumbscrews*
> > >
> > > *I'm trying to see your point here. Everyone has thumbs so they are*
> > > *used as the basis of a lot of cultural references. Is there a point*
> > > *other than that?*
> > >
> > >
> > > > *Newton could have used the human eye, the semicircular canals,*
> > > > *or any of a dozen more amazing examples than the thumb.*
> > >
> > > *Exactly, the eye has been used a lot by IDers. Go to talkorigins and*
> > > *see the argument from both sides.*
> >
> > [Hammond]
> > *You're fulla crap too.*
>
> *George can you start an argument without shooting yourself in the*
> *foot?*
>
> > *Do you realize the rectus muscles of the eye are*
> > *precisely "Cartesian".... there are two muscles which move the eyeball*
> > *Right-Left, and two muscles which move the eyeball Up-Down... set*
> > *in a perfect "crosshairs structure" and this cross-structure (Cartesian*
> > *structure)*
>
> *Well yes - that's how it evolved because it is the best solution. If*
> *you need to move something in two axis then right-left, up down is how*
> *you do it.*
>
>
> > *is connected to and physically aligned with the 3-axes of the*
> > *semicircular canals which measure head movement Left-Right and Up-down,*
> > *.... for christs sake any designer of military gun tracking or guided*
missile
> > *design would recognize this immediately as "inertial guidance vision*
> > *control". It is a far stronger candidate*
> > *for "intelligent design" than the Thumb for chrissakes*
>
> *You're right, it is a stronger candidate for intelligent design, the*
> *whole eye is, but it's been refuted more times than I care to mention.*
> *Evolution happily explains it.*

[Hammond]

I'll take issue with that. I (along with Dembski) do not believe that "blind Evolution" is sufficient to explain it. I claim that there is a "Supernatural Selection" operative in Evolution besides the mere "Natural Selection" cited by Darwin. IOW I would replace the statement"

"Survival of the fittest"
(Darwin)

with the new (post SPoG/ID) statement:

"Survival of the Godliest"
(Hammond)

The SPoG shows that especially in higher animals, there is a significant "growth deficit" in all animals, and that this causes "God" which is the functioning of this ungrwon (partially grown) part of the brain, and therefore contributes a "supernatural intelligence" to the behavior of any animal. This "Supernatural Intelligence" dramatically impacts "Natural Selection" so called, and causes the "apparently supernatural appearance of unexplained jumps" in Evolutionary development. and this is what explains the historical belief that "clever mechanisms" such as the eye are "divinely Created".... in fact THEY ARE.... in fact.... the FIRST EXAMPLE of actual "real physical miracles" are the so called "gaps in the Evolutionary record" and "unbelievable" occurances such as the "eye", "vistibular system", "Krebs cycle", "bacterial falgellum" etc. etc.

THE "MISSING LINK" OF DARWINIAN THEORY
IS IN FACT THE EXISTENCE OF
"SUPERNATURAL SELECTION"
OR "GOD", SO CALLED.

<snip rubbish heckling>

[Hammond]

And BTW... I'll tell you another thing. "Supernatural Selection" is simply a "corrollary" of the SPoG. IOW ID is simply a "corrollary" to SPoG. Of course I can only prove this on the macroscopic (brain) level. However, if it can be established at the "biomolecular" level which Dembski seems to believe.... it would strike me as an OBVIOUS "first detection" of the existence of "Life After Death" so called. I have a funny feeling that this is where Dembski is headed (he's only 44) and is going to leave his calling card to history.... just a funny feeling.

I do know for instance that a "bacterium" has a "Secular Trend" growth deficit (so do plants for instance)... which means the "phenomena of God" is active in bacteria and plants... very low levels (almost purely molecular) living organisms. If ID is active at that level, it means there must be some form of "bimolecular intelligence" which can be identified. This would indicated that there must be "biomollecular intelligence" active in the human brain.

so far the SPoG only suggests that "Life After Death" may be a "immense time dilation" at the moment of death so that Life After Death would actually be "life before death" which only APPEARED to extend beyond death. But for this to be true, there would have to be a biomolecular mechanism which could

read out, say 10 years of information, in a fraction of a second (say a nanosecond.. which is the fastest that death could physically occur... speed of light). This mechanism would have to be biomolecular at a minimum to obtain such information speed of transfer.... and like I day... if Dembski is right about "biomolecular ID"..... he may be the first one to actually detect such a mechanism..... cripes..... and actual detection of LIFE AFTER DEATH may be on the horizon!!!

> *Stew Dean*

>

=====
SCIENTIFIC PROOF OF GOD WEBSITE
1st mirror site:
http://geocities.com/scientific_proof_of_god
2nd mirror site:
<http://proof-of-god.freewebsitehosting.com>
new site (under construction):
<http://home.comcast.net/~proof-of-god>
=====