

## Re: Tom Roberts, M-Max, Hobba

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-10/5658.html>

---

**From:** Paul Stowe (*ps\_at\_acompletelyjunkaddress.net*)

**Date:** 10/25/04

Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2004 16:34:03 GMT

On 24 Oct 2004 16:30:46 -0700, xxein@bellsouth.net (xxein) wrote:

>Paul Stowe <ps@acompletelyjunkaddress.net> wrote in message  
news:<jj7ln0du9g46sfkppcso2rvmfmmc7ajdjl@4ax.com>...  
>> On Sat, 23 Oct 2004 10:16:52 GMT, "Pax" <pax1@whitesweb.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> "xxein" <xxein@bellsouth.net> wrote in message  
>>> news:cce403e3.0410192013.595545b1@posting.google.com...  
>>  
>> [Snip...]  
>>  
>>>>> However, I did not get YOUR origin of of charge. OH!!! I almost  
>>>>> understand what you might mean. The burps of the local adiabatics  
>>>>> (temporary feedbacks on the difference scale). That would be  
>>>>> necessary to be 360. Otherwise only a restricted 180??? Let me  
>>>>> think for a while.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> No adiabatics... well, maybe for an instant. Charge requires an  
>>>>> exchange, and definitely an interaction of opposing forces.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Magnetism? Again related to the above (?). I know that you did  
>>>>> not say that, but gravity is radial and magnetism is not. Got  
>>>>> something else?  
>>>>  
>>>> xxein: This is where we start to differ.  
>>>>  
>>>> (Is it Lesage that pushes?) Gravity does not attract either.  
>>>>  
>>> You stopped me cold with that one!  
>>  
>> As Ross Tessien claimed, "There exists in nature no attractive forces"  
>> only differential compressive ones. If you are not familiar with