

## Re: Energy of Gravity is Nonlocal

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-11/0179.html>

---

**From:** vonroach (*hadrainc\_at\_earthlink.net*)

**Date:** 11/01/04

Date: Mon, 01 Nov 2004 03:42:44 GMT

On Sun, 31 Oct 2004 22:46:36 GMT, "Bill Hobba" <bhobba@rubbish.net.au> wrote:

> *It is hard to even conceive of an  
> alternative theory that could explain the basic features of the observed  
> Universe.*

Do my ears deceive me? You sound like a contemporary of Newton.

> *We are not 100% confident of that at all – but what really going on is  
> probably beyond your ken.*

Is that the best you can do?

>  
>> *Sounds closer to hubris than intellectual appeal. I  
>> tend to be a bit cynical.*  
>  
> *Your cynicism is fine – simply do not confuse it with science.*

>  
It is the essence of science.

>> *IMHO, even our invented language of mathematics has more 'intellectual  
>> appeal' than the above melange of assumptions that appear to have  
>> worked for the anecdotal period of a few hundred years in vicinity of  
>> a small star.*

>>  
>> *But carry on, it gives you something to do while DNA plays out its  
>> game.*

>  
> *It is obvious the above poster understands nothing or at the most little  
> about science. His philosophical evaluation of a theories foundations are  
> irrelevant – what is relevant is correspondence with experiment. Now I  
> wonder if he has any concerns on those grounds?*

>  
Billy, I wasn't aware that any experiments had successfully found any definite evidence of gravitons, gravity waves, or energy strings beyond 'mathematical conceptual models'. I don't even know if there is a way to prove these 'concepts' wrong. Then there is a horizon beyond

sci.physics.relativity: Re: Energy of Gravity is Nonlocal

which we cannot see with the most powerful telescopes arrayed in space. The light had not disengaged and the Universe was dark. A 'philosopher' might say this destroys the credibility of these concepts beyond mathematical curiosities.

I can see that my reference to human destiny on this speck of rock was a bit over your head.

>*Bill*

>