

Re: David question three: relativistic electron mass

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-12/5609.html>

From: N:dlzc D:aol T:com \(\dlzc\) (*net_at_nospam.com*)

Date: 12/18/04

Date: Sat, 18 Dec 2004 08:11:20 -0700

Dear vergon:

<vergon_enterprises@highstream.net> wrote in message
news:1103362287.681035.277480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc) Dec 17, 4:02 pm show options

...

[dlzc]

> *So you don't care about actuality? That is rich. Momentum is a vector.*

> *It has direction & magnitude. Neither mass nor c have an inherent*

> *direction. So what will your shortcut "solution" do for the necessary*

> *direction?*

>

> *VERGON:*

>

> *Your feeble attempt to save your ass is as transparent as a show*

> *window.*

>

> *You claimed the photon has no mass -- and you admit it has momentum. I*

> *asked you to explain to me the composition of a momentum that has no*

> *mass.*

Mass is composed of particles that have no mass. Therefore, momentum does not require mass, as mass is not fundamental.

Rather than feed your blather enigne, I will bid you adieu. At least until you change your nym again.

Maybe Google.Groups won't be such a pain in the *ss to deal with, that I have to decorate your words so that you don't appear as a *disjointed* idiot.

<plonk>

David A. Smith