

## Re: my gravity theory – Web Link

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004–12/6452.html>

---

**From:** Bill Hobba ([bhobba\\_at\\_rubbish.net.au](mailto:bhobba_at_rubbish.net.au))

**Date:** 12/21/04

Date: Tue, 21 Dec 2004 23:58:00 GMT

"Bill Hobba" <[bhobba@rubbish.net.au](mailto:bhobba@rubbish.net.au)> wrote in message  
news:q52yd.83533\$K7.7418@news–server.bigpond.net.au...  
>  
> "SeanSinjin" <[delphiconsultinguy@yahoo.com](mailto:delphiconsultinguy@yahoo.com)> wrote in message  
> news:1103668846.530215.234430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...  
> > >Other than the fact that there is no math, the postulates are  
> > >unsupported and the results differ with empirical reality.... well....  
> >  
> >  
> > The reason the postulates are unsupported probably stems from the fact  
> > that this is a very unique and new version of 'ether' theory, and it  
> > will take some time to wrap your mind around it.  
>  
> The reason is exactly as Varney said – it is crap unsupported by one shred  
> of evidence eg you wrote 'For a moment let's take a seeming tangent and  
talk  
> about space, referring to outer space, ether (also spelled, "Aether"),  
that  
> big emptiness just past the end of the upper atmosphere.'. It is not  
> science to assume, without evidence, and as if it is truth beyond  
question,  
> the existence of something no one has ever been able to detect. Such is  
the  
> way of the crank and crackpot. You need go no further than this to know  
it  
> is rubbish of the first order. A genuine scientist would give reasons for  
> supposing it or deduce it from more fundamental postulates. For a genuine  
> aether theory, and an example of what science is about, see  
> <http://www.ilja–schmelzer.de/GET/>.  
>  
> Bill

Had a couple of minutes to spare and read more of the junk. The author wrote: 'One endearing new quality we'll introduce is homogeneity, or a lack of particles.'. The aether of the 19th century was not considered to be composed of particles – it was considered as a space filling elastic medium exactly of the type the author is advocating (Conceptual Development of 20th

sci.physics.relativity: Re: my gravity theory – Web Link

Century F