

Re: God=G_uv Comments of Isham Dembski Unwin

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2004-12/7933.html>

From: David Iain Greig (greig_at_ediacara.org)

Date: 12/27/04

Date: Mon, 27 Dec 2004 13:54:49 +0000 (UTC)

George Hammond <nowhere@nomailspam.net> wrote:

>
> *"stew dean"* <stewart@webslave.dircon.co.uk> wrote in message
> *news:1104084862.136866.184680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...*
>>
>
>
>> > *Anyway let me ask my question again.*
>> > >
>> > > *Using your theory how do I prove the existance of god?*
>> > > *Or perhaps this question is too trivial?*
>> >
>> > *[Hammond]*
>> > *No the question is too tongue in cheek for me to bother with.*
>> >
>> > *The SPOG *IS* a scientific proof of God.*
>> >
>> > *I. You don't need to "use" Newton's theory to prove $F=ma$,*
>> > *it *IS* a proof that $F=ma$*
>>
>> *You are saying a theory is proof of it's self. This is known as a*
>> *tautology. It is not how science works – something you should be aware*
>> *of. You are saying X is because X is.*
>
> *[Hammond]*
> *Hey asshole... Hammond's God=G_uv is a PROVEN DISCOVERY,*
> *it is not a "theory".... I only use the word "theory" as a bend over*
> *backwards*
> *pandering to ignorant halfass pedants like you.*

George, did you understand science better before you went insane all those years ago? The scientist I suspect you once were would really be horrified to see what sort of howling madman you've turned into. I'm sorry for him.

I think we're all very, very sorry for you. I just wanted to say

sci.physics.relativity: Re: God=G_uv Comments of Isham Dembski Unwin

that when we make fun of your insanity, it's not his fault, and that I hope whatever is left of him inside you, staring out in horror, understands that.

I understand some of the medications you could take to control your problems can have bad side effects. Hopefully you're somewhere safe and warm this winter, and not roaming the streets.

--D.