

sci.physics.relativity: Re: behoynd SR masquerade

>>>>> *If you are so sure SR is a conspiracy then why try to unmask it?*
>>>>> *Surely all*
>>>>> *the conspirators will keep doing is fling masks at you just like*
> *the*
>>>>> *petrol*
>>>>> *companies do to those that believe they are keeping 100mpg*
>>>>> *carburetors from*
>>>>> *surfacing. After all it is one giant conspiracy so we can not*
>> *really*
>>>>> *believe anything can we? – even that you are actually interested*
> *in*
>>>>> *understanding relativity. So why bother? – if it is really a*
>>>>> *conspiracy the*
>>>>> *conspirators will never admit to it will they?*
>>>>>
>>>>> *beda pietanza*
>>>>> *No conspiracy!!! In order to be there a conspiracy the*
conspirators
>> *must*
>>>> *be*
>>>>> *aware of it, this would make the conspirator potentially liable to*
>>> *repent.*
>>>>>
>>>>> *That a conspiracy is done at a level the conspirators do not even*
>>> *realize*
>>>>> *is*
>>>>> *so silly it not even worth comment. Think about it – fudging of*
>> *facts,*
>>>>> *repressing articles, ensuring the press do not get hold of the*
> *truth,*
>>> *all*
>>>>> *done without any plan or even knowledge of those doing it. What*
>> *next –*
>>>> *the*
>>>>> *space shuttle was built by people sleepwalking and they just*
> *happened*
>> *to*
>>>>> *create something that worked.*
>>>>>
>>>>> *Rest snipped*
>>>>>
>>>>> *Bill*
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> *Did you read my post two lines above ?? entirely ?? I'm reposting it*
> *for*
>>> *you*
>>>>
>>>> *No conspiracy!!!*
>>>>

> > > *In order to be there a conspiracy the conspirators must be aware of
> it,*
> > > *this would make the conspirator potentially liable to repent.*
> > >
> > > *Yes I did Beda. That is why I quoted you as saying it. And I stand
by*
> > *what*
> > > *I said. For the conspiracy to be done at a unconscious level – to the
> > point*
> > > *where the people concerned to not recognize it – is absurd.*
> >
> > *You are entitle to your opinion but not entitle to define mine:
> > as I said there is not any conspiracy; in my opinion SR is not a
> conspiracy*
>
> *OK Beda – you are not proposing a conspiracy. But that changes nothing –
It*
> *is silly to propose that everyone else is not able to see what you have
> seen. It is obvious when your ideas are constantly refuted you are
laboring*
> *under misunderstandings and misconceptions. I and others have tried to
> point it out to you but you will simply not see. Your rationalization of
> saying those that believe in SR are laboring under a mental strange
> attractor or whatever is simply a defense mechanism of exactly the same
kind*
> *conspiracy theorists have.*

- 1)I said there is not a SR conspiracy (my opinion)
- 2)I said there is a psychological masses attractor at work for SR (my opinion)
- 3)I said that, contrary to what SR sustains, a moving observer is aware of his own absolute movement just by looking at the modification of the visual appearance of the outer world (this is an argument with full details in my original post)

Against 1) and 2) you can produce your opinions, but against 3) you must produce a detailed counter argument, so please do so,
"" it may turn
out that the one having misconceptions are you, even though you feel safe, locked in your SR believes.""

best regards

beda pietanza

>
> *Bill*
>
> > *but*
> > *""SR belong to those kind of theory and
> > believes that, for the strength of the suggestions that they imply,*

