

Re: Redshift problems continue.. what is going on?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-01/3796.html>

From: Henri Wilson (H_at_..(Henri))

Date: 01/18/05

Date: Tue, 18 Jan 2005 20:49:06 GMT

On 18 Jan 2005 11:38:32 -0800, "shevek" <shevek4@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>Henri Wilson wrote:

>> On 17 Jan 2005 15:11:11 -0800, "shevek" <shevek4@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>> >>

>> >> You can forget all that stuff about light speed being constant

>> >throughout

>> >> space. It is meaningless drivel.

>> >>

>> >

>> >OK, well then you have to specify another way to build a coordinate

>> >system. Otherwise, you can't talk about anything's speed through

>> >space.

>>

>> Nobody ever could talk about 'speed through space'.

>>

>

>You did. Look at your post above.

Yes! I said it is a meaningless expression.

>

>> Speed has to be specified relative to something.

>>

>

>Yes! Welcome to relativity. You are now a relativist.

Shevek, I am a true relativist...not the Einsteinian version.

>

>> Einstein et al obviously believed in an aether.

>>

>

sci.physics.relativity: Re: Redshift problems continue.. what is going on?

>Well Einstein thought the word was appropriate in certain contexts,
>but I don't know who you mean by "et al." here. His important paper
>were published with one author.

Einstein thought he could get around the concept of one absolute aether by providing every observer with his own personal light carrying medium that moved everywhere with him.

Not surprisingly, his maths ended up the same as those of LET.

>

>Cheers –

HW.

www.users.bigpond.com/hewn/index.htm

"If it's repeated often enough they'll eventually believe it" __Albert Bush