

## Re: The Stowe Unitary System

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-02/1214.html>

---

**From:** Paul Stowe (*ps\_at\_acompletelyjunkaddress.net*)

**Date:** 02/05/05

Date: Sat, 05 Feb 2005 18:24:21 GMT

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 09:07:16 -0800, Uncle Al <UncleAl0@hate.spam.net> wrote:

>Paul Stowe wrote:

>>

>> *For the first time I have been able to define all fundamental constants  
>> in terms of basic medium parameters, including the gravitational  
>> constant G. Further, G is, within this system, seamlessly integrated  
>> to all others, fitting into a unified system.*

>>

>> *The key to this system's definition is the realization that charge is  
>> fundamentally a result AND the measure of the compressibility of  
>> Maxwell's aether.*

>

> *Physics Today* 57(7) 40 (2004)

> <http://physicstoday.org/vol-57/iss-7/p40.shtml>

> *No aether*

Hey Bozo, Maxwell's aether WAS what lead TO LCR. See Lorentz 1904, Einstein 1905... etc.

><http://fsweb.berry.edu/academic/mans/clane/>

><http://physicsweb.org/articles/world/17/3/7>

> *No Lorentz violation*

BFD! That certainly does NOT invalidate Maxwell!

> *Michelson-Morley experiments (to  $10^{-8}$  in 1887 and  $1.7 \times 10^{-15}$  in  
> 2002) Kennedy-Thorndyke experiments Ives-Stilwell experiments  
> Hughes-Drever experiments etc.*

Irrelevant to the issue!

The system stands or falls solely based upon its internal continuity AND the ability to match & predict relationships both known or unknown.

Your CRAP above isn't even on the radar scope of this particular topic!

sci.physics.relativity: Re: The Stowe Unitary System

Paul Stowe