

Re: Time Dilation flaw: Reciprocity is a liability not a feature.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-02/2115.html>

guskz_at_hotmail.com

Date: 02/09/05

Date: 9 Feb 2005 05:20:00 -0800

Bill Hobba wrote:

> <guskz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1107895871.895640.151570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Hobba wrote:
> > > <guskz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1107865623.051875.184980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Time Dilation Flaw:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > LAW 1. Relativity Liability: we cannot specify a specific
Newtonian
> > > > velocity to either twin. Example twin1 is traveling 10km/s and
> > > > twin2 is
> > > > traveling 40km/s is illegal. (Only that there is a difference
of
> > > > 30km/s
> > > > between the twins is correct).
> > > >
> > > > Sure it is possible have a set up where one can only specify the
> > > > relative
> > > > velocity of the two twins – but we can detect by the presence of
> > > > forces
> > > > acceleration which twin is accelerating.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ohhhh is it Houdini! Are you drunk. It's old school in terms of
> > > > Relativity you can't specify a velocity to any object. In Newton
> > > > Mecanics you can.
>
> In the so called twin paradox it looks like you are considering one
can
> always measure ones velocity relative to the other twin.

It's called difference of velocity

sci.physics.relativity: Re: Time Dilation flaw: Reciprocity is a liability not a feature.

> *But only one twin*
> *will experience forces of acceleration.*
>

Really now...well how about if the platform their both initially on accelerates in one direction just as the other twin is accelerating in the other direction...

So now who's aging less than the other.... hmmmmmm.....

Oh and there's a big speed difference between both of them so you can't say they're both aging the same amount.

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>
>>>> *LAW 2. Relativity Liability: There is no + or - vector to velocity*
>>>> *therefor it is a liability to specify if a twin is accelerating or*
>>>> *decelerating.*
>>>> *(only that there's a change in velocity is correct otherwise it*
>> *would*
>>>> *be an Absolute space infringement).*
>>>
>>> *??????????????. It is possible (eg using accelerometers) to detect*
>> *forces of*
>>> *acceleration.*
>>
>> *Really now.*
>
> *Yes really. Have you any actual constructive comment to make?*
>

A ball leaves a moving car on an invisible Earth (meaning the car cannot see the Earth nor does it know if it's moving, from the car's perspective all it knows is that there's a say 5km/s difference between them....could be -5km/s (deceleration) or it could be 5km/s (acceleration).

> *Bill*
>
>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> *LAW 3. In Relativity RECIPROCITY (meaning vice-versa) is a*
>> *LIABLILITY*
>>>> *and not a FEATURE:*

Re: Time Dilation flaw: Reciprocity is a liability not a feature.

sci.physics.relativity: Re: Time Dilation flaw: Reciprocity is a liability not a feature.

> > >

> > > ????????????

> > >

> > > *It might be a good idea to express yourself more clearly – then*

it is

> > > *possible any problems you have may not arise.*

> > >

> > > *Rest snipped.*

> > >

> > > *Bill*

> >