

## Re: Debunking Ken Seto in progress....

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-02/3857.html>

---

**From:** kenseto ([kenseto\\_at\\_erinet.com](mailto:kenseto_at_erinet.com))

**Date:** 02/17/05

Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2005 16:58:23 GMT

"A.S." <[arnoldschrod68@go.com](mailto:arnoldschrod68@go.com)> wrote in message  
news:1108596028.959708.69900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

>

> *bryant\_j\_j@yahoo.com* wrote:

>> *err, but you missed or forgot about the posting where Landle admits*

>> *that he is Caltechdude and he admits changing his nicks, it is not*

>> *merely nntp posting addresses. you can check one of the threads that*

>> *start off with Landle and then he swithches to What is Qi. From that*

>> *point on it is exactly the same guy. i could point to the specific*

>> *postings if you'd like but i don't know how to do it with this new*

>> *Google Groups thing. based on Landle's own admission, he is indeed*

>> *Caltechdude, Cinquirer and What is Qi. He is also Qion since the*

>> *nntp-posting address for Qion is exactly the same as What is Qi.*

>>

>> *look, i am just trying to do you a favor here, so you don't repeat*

>> *yourself to the same guy masquerading as someone else and reparting*

> *the*

>> *same pathetic attempt to get you to "debunk" a specific crank*

> *theories.*

>>

>>

>> *as for A.S., at first i was not convinced that he is indeed*

>> *Caltechdude, Landle, etc because like you his style at first looked*

>> *different. but then as time went on his style and sentences became*

> *more*

>> *and more like that of Landle and his zillion other nicks. i the*

>> *crosschecked the nntp posting ip's and found that the one A.S. is*

> *using*

>> *is that of Qion or What is Qi. why don't you just ask you buddy to*

> *tell*

>> *you honestly if he is indeed all these other people. IMO, they are,*

>> *unless many people are using the same account (i.e. a mafia of pranic*

>> *healers taking turns logging in as A.S. and Qion, etc).*

>>

>> *bye.*

>

>

- >
- > *The "bizarre reason" can be explained in a simple way.*
- >
- > *Bryan, do you notice that when people associate a message*
- > *or thread as started by Qion like this thread (owing to your*
- > *replying to it immediately and stamping the "Qion" seal).*
- > *There are few replies. So how could you expect Qion to start a*
- > *thread on physics subjects unrelated to qi and expecting people*
- > *to reply when just reading the "qi" word can already turn them*
- > *off. This is likely the main reason Qion has to change*
- > *nicks just to have a normal discussion of physics related*
- > *thread. His changing nicks don't involve attacking people*
- > *personality which would have made it bad had it been so.*
- >
- > *By replying to this message and associating it to Qion or*
- > *Qi. You destroyed the thread (this would also occur if*
- > *he posted it using Qion). This is why Ken Seto is*
- > *not here to defend himself for the atomic model*
- > *inconsistency commented by Bjoern*

Hey A.S. This is the first time I notice this thread. I made comments on Bjoern's comments today..

- >
- > *In the other thread "Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?" posted*
- > *by me. You didn't associate it to "Qion" so the discussion*
- > *is alive. So you now undertand the "bizarre reason" is just*
- > *a simple one.*

I was responding in the thread "Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?"

Ken Seto