

Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-04/msg01558.html>

- *From:* "Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 27 Apr 2005 22:01:51 -0700
-

In <jlYbe.31571\$5F3.100@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Bill Hobba <bho...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>In <1114650102.388185.269750@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>> Comparisons between SR and LET.
>>
>> Statement now accepted by SR experts:
>> SR and LET are scientifically equivalent
>> theories.
>>
>> The above has to be accepted for several reasons.
>> They have the same math. They use the same math
>> transforms. They make the same identical math
>> predictions. This means that any fact found to
>> support SR also supports LET. This means that if
>> SR has found any support that indicates that it
>> might be correct, then LET has that same support,
>> to the exact same degree. If one is proved to be
>> in error, so will the other. In other words, we
>> have, so far, not been able to find any test that
>> could distinguish between these two theories. In
>> principle we should be able to do this, but in
>> practice, no such testing presently exists or is
>> known. . . .

Bill Hobba <bho...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>In principle we should not be able to do this – that
>is exactly what mathematical equivalent means.

Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxx> comments:
Thank you Bill. What I wanted us to considered
was this: As you said, they are mathematically
equivalent. But according to SR experts, they are
not physically the same theory. So in these areas
where they are not the same, according to what SR
experts demand, differences would thus seem to be
easy to be found. Yet no differences can be found.
I think this makes us want to question what SR
experts are saying. I think they are saying there

Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.

are all these differences, when in fact there are none!

SR claims that there is a 4-D spacetime continuum. This is so bizarre, so physically impossible, so unreasonable, and yet it is accepted with no scientific evidence at all. This makes every SR expert completely unscientific. SR experts say that the ether is undetectable. Yet every test made confirms the fact that the ether is doing exactly what is predicted that it will do by the theory being used. The scientific truth is that the ether is detected in every way the theory says it will be