

Re: Bending of light not well authenticated

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-05/msg00238.html>

- *From:* "FredIFizzx" <fredifizzx@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 4 May 2005 19:24:41 -0700
-

"John C. Polasek" <jpolasek@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:hgjh71d4q5hde9u8rt4av4dfcf9kv9s6ou@xxxxxxxxxxxx
| On Wed, 4 May 2005 00:01:39 -0700, "Koobee Wublee" <kublai@xxxxxxx>
| wrote:
|
|>
|>"FredIFizzx" <fredifizzx@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
|>news:3dr8n0F6rvkq7U1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
|>
|>See my post earlier.
|>
|>
|><http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/770d1ed368a49e4a?mode=source&hl=en>
|>
|>Also, Mr. Unique Space is very unique. Mr. Dual Space might appear
|>to be
|>more gentlemanly. However, Mr. Triple Space is not far away. If you
|>have
|>Mr. Dual Space and certainly a Mr. Triple Space, there must be a Mr.
|>Quadruple Space, and so forth. I think Mr. Unique Space is wiser to
|>claim
|>uniqueness by shunting others out.
|>
|>Dual Space finally offers relief from the unbelievable Big Bang
|>theory, which should bring glad tidings to all men of good will.

Hmm... I don't really think DS totally eliminates a Big BANG concept.
For sure it might eliminate part of some of the current thinking about
BB. Ya gotta remember what the alien said in MIB. ;-) "...size doesn't
matter."

Well, how about it John? Can you derive the max force thing from
"vacuum" permittivity? If so, here is your chance to shine. Christoph
Schiller has already shown how max force goes to GR.

FredIFizzx

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: Bending of light not well authenticated*