

Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-05/msg00472.html>

- *From:* "Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 7 May 2005 10:15:24 -0700
-

In <slrnd7pf6i.5c.dubious@xx>

Bilge <dubi...@xx> wrote:

>Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>> ...

>O'Barr wrote:

>>>> I made my point several times: I was not and I
>>>>am not the one who said that the Dirac theory was
>>>> based on SR. Therefore, if you want to know
>>>> about the Dirac theory, then ask TC.

>

>Bilge wrote:

>>> Then I take it your point was to evade the
>>> question.

>

>>O'Barr comments:

>> Take it any way you want. I did not bring up
>>Dirac. I did not say or base anything upon Dirac.

Bilge wrote:

> Yes, you did. Now you're backpeddling.

O'Barr comments:

That is an interesting charge. I never had a bicycle that could be back-peddled. I could sit backwards on the handle bars, and sitting backwards, I could then peddle the bicycle forward while moving sitting backwards. Does this qualify for 'backpedding'?

O'Barr wrote:

>>If someone said that SR was used to bake a cake, I
>>would have said, then LET could be used to also
>>bake a cake.

Bilge wrote:

> Perhaps you should be a bit more careful to
>confine yourself to only those statements you can
>personally support.

Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.

O'Barr comments:

And perhaps you can also be a little more careful in understanding what was being said!

O'Barr wrote:

>> It does not mean anything more than this.

Bilge wrote:

> I'm not asking you prove any more than what you
>claimed.

O'Barr wrote:

>>SR and LET are the exact same theory, as far as the
>>math goes.

Bilge wrote:

> Then demonstrate that as I requested. If you
>can't prove the claims you make, don't post the
>claims.

O'Barr comments:

The claims I make, I have both referenced and posted. LET establishes the exact same final math as SR. LET is the correct physical base upon which SR math is based. Once this has been established, then everything done in the name of SR is due to LET, pure and simple.

I do not know everything. I cannot do everything that has been done in the name of SR. But if the math of SR has been connected to its proper base, then all of what SR has done is now connected to LET.

I have done what needs to be done. Your acceptance of this is up to you, but you have no call upon me to do more. If you want to refute me, then take it by the numbers:

Do you disagree that LET establishes the same math as SR? By the way, I have never heard you say one way or the other! Why is that? What is so hard for you to say simple truths, that the math of these two theories are the same?

Do you disagree that LET makes the same math predictions as SR? By the way, I have never heard you say one way or the other on this? Why is that? What is it that makes it so hard for you to say such simple and obvious things?

Do you disagree that LET is a physical based theory, and SR has no physical base. SR is only math! By the way, I have never heard you say, one way or another, a thing about this. Is there a

reason why you are not willing to say anything about this?

And by the way, I have not heard you address anything that is related to the real problems being presented. Why is that? Surely you really do know if our present known reality could really be expressed in simple 3-D or not. Surely you know that GR can be made into a 3-D reality. Surely you know these simple things. Surely you know that Dirac can be formulated in different ways, using different approaches. Surely you know these things. So where are you, Bilge, when you are really needed?

Thanks for reading.

Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxxxx>

+ Remove 3 dots for e-mail.

• ***Follow-Ups:***

- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Bilge*

• ***References:***

- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Bilge*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Gerald L. O'Barr*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Bilge*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Gerald L. O'Barr*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Bilge*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Gerald L. O'Barr*
- ◆ ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
◇ *From: Bilge*

- Prev by Date: ***Re: O'Barr & Jupiter's revolving moons***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Two photons... relative distance question***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Comparisons between SR and LET.***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***