

# Re: Bending of light not well authenticated

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-05/msg01437.html>

---

- *From:* "Koobee Wuble" <kublai@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 19 May 2005 23:55:23 -0700
- 

<ande452@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:428D7621.7CE2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:428D7621.7CE2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>  
> You're both wrong. Deflection of a photon can never be proven  
> by math. But the point of physics is to do experiments that  
> can disprove theories. Nothing can be proven by math or experiments.

I agree with you that the deflection of a photon can never be proven by math. However, what I have done is to disprove the actual prediction done originally by (according to Mr. Dumse) Einstein himself.

So, although GR predicts a deflection of a photon due to the curvature of spacetime, the deflection is not what is widely believed to be. However, GR's prediction of Mercury's orbital anomaly is totally bogus. If you are genuinely interested, I can show you how Einstein (assuming he was the original clown to come up with this nonsense) went wrong.

- 
- *Follow-Ups:*
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Gene McGraw
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Bilge
  - *References:*
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Koobee Wuble
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Koobee Wuble
    - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse

Re: Bending of light not well authenticated

- ◆ **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
  - ◇ From: Koobee Wublee
- ◆ **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
  - ◇ From: Randy M. Dumse
- ◆ **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
  - ◇ From: Koobee Wublee
- ◆ **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
  - ◇ From: Randy M. Dumse
- ◆ **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
  - ◇ From: ande452

- Prev by Date: **Re: why people pretend that the moon landing was for real**
- Next by Date: **Re: einstein failed scholar examination**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
- Next by thread: **Re: Bending of light not well authenticated**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**