

# Re: Bending of light not well authenticated

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-05/msg01571.html>

---

- *From:* [emcgraw@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:emcgraw@xxxxxxxxxx) (Gene McGraw)
  - *Date:* Sat, 21 May 2005 17:59:48 GMT
- 

On Sat, 21 May 2005 "Koobee Wublee" wrote:

>> One derivation of the relativistic deflection of light is given on  
>> this web page: <http://www.mathpages.com/rr/s6-03/6-03.htm>  
>> Are you saying this derivation (not to mention all the others that  
>> arrive at the same result) is mathematically incorrect?  
>Why don't you read a few of my older posts in this thread. Exactly where  
>Einstein went wrong is explained in those. You want to focus on the  
>integration limit.

I'm afraid I wouldn't know where to begin to look. You've posted quite a few messages here. Could I trouble you to cut and paste the relevant explanation into your reply?

>> A derivation of the relativistic precession of Mercury's orbit is  
>> given on this web page <http://www.mathpages.com/rr/s6-02/6-02.htm>  
>> Again, are you saying this is mathematically incorrect?  
>Yes, you can focus on these two integration constants that are the  
>by-product of the two conserved quantities according to Noether's Theorem  
>(between equation 4 and 5).

Suggesting where I can "focus" is not exactly the same as providing an explanation of the mathematical error. Can you provide the actual explanation?

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee
- *References:*
  - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse
  - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee
  - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***  
◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse
  - ◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

Re: Bending of light not well authenticated

◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Randy M. Dumse

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* ande452

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Gene McGraw

◆ ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***

◇ *From:* Koobee Wublee

- Prev by Date: ***Re: How long is a muon when at rest?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: why people pretend that the moon landing was for real***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Bending of light not well authenticated***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***