

Re: the basic of matter

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-05/msg01932.html>

- *From:* "La velocidad de la Luz" <neil788@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 25 May 2005 10:43:47 -0700
-

>First, you'll have to provide a definition of ``life."

i dont know

> >why would matter looks and try to understand itself?

> That's harder. You'll need to provide a definition of ``consciousness."

you are right

a definition to unconsciousness could be good as well

> >are atoms alive?

> Not according to any definition of ``alive" which would make it useful

>to distinguish between alive and not alive.

what do i know, you ae the smartest guy in the kichen

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ ***Re: the basic of matter***
◇ *From:* Bill Hobba

- *References:*

- ◆ ***the basic of matter***
◇ *From:* Los Coxones
- ◆ ***Re: the basic of matter***
◇ *From:* Bilge

- Prev by Date: ***Re: the basic of matter***
- Next by Date: ***Re: relativity of simultaneity – real or perceived?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: the basic of matter***
- Next by thread: ***Re: the basic of matter***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***