

# Re: If speed was relative

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-06/msg00207.html>

---

- *From:* "\*\*\* rD" <paulpsremove@xxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 2 Jun 2005 07:31:57 +0100
- 

"macarena womenrights" <petrol@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:1117662922.150636.282610@xx>

| > I think you mean stretching ? as opposed to contracting.

|  
| oh yes, i ment stratching, io no hablas inglesia, sorry

|  
| i cant understand the rest

I'll plug my Spanish? module in and translate. Might take a day or so,  
confirm Spanish ?

—  
rD \*\*\* E-field = Electric field, M-field =Magnetic field, two unbound  
field effects  
<http://home.freeuk.com/paulps/>  
Maybe updates. The spuds, beans and onions are coming up nicely. Ooh  
ah.{:—)

---

• *References:*

- ◆ *If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* \*\*\* rD
- ◆ *Re: If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* abrasive
- ◆ *Re: If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* \*\*\* rD
- ◆ *Re: If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* congruente
- ◆ *Re: If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* \*\*\* rD
- ◆ *Re: If speed was relative*  
◇ *From:* macarena womenrights

Re: If speed was relative

- Prev by Date: *Re: the basis of relativity*
- Next by Date: *Re: O'Barr: The purpose of science.*
- Previous by thread: *Re: If speed was relative*
- Next by thread: *Re: If speed was relative*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*