

Re: Imagine i , j, (-1)^-2

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-06/msg01120.html>

- *From:* "sue jahn" <[susysewshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:susyshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Jun 2005 18:38:01 -0400
-

"Spoonfed" <jonathan.doolin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1118435212.927622.258850@xx

>
>
> sue jahn wrote:
>> When Albert Einstein writes:
>>
>> <<
>> As *judged* from K, the clock is moving with the velocity v;
>> as judged from this reference-body, the time which elapses
>> between two strokes of the clock is not one second, but
>>
>> <http://www.bartleby.com/173/M5.GIF> (equation)
>>
>> seconds, i.e. a somewhat larger time. As a consequence
>> of its motion the clock *goes* more slowly than when at rest.
>>>>
>> <http://www.bartleby.com/173/12.html>
>>
>> are we not obliged to immediately attach the imaginary
>> operator i ?
>
>
> You ARE kidding, right? You know that's a 1, not an I.

Yes..
The i is here:
<http://www.bartleby.com/173/a2.html>

Sue...

>
> <Snip>
>

.

- **References:**

- ◆ **Imagine i , j, $(-1)^{-2}$**
 - ◇ From: sue jahn
- ◆ **Re: Imagine i , j, $(-1)^{-2}$**
 - ◇ From: Spoonfed

- Prev by Date: **Re: Calculating $v[t]$, $x[t]$, and $t'[t]$ for an constant accelerated object.**
- Next by Date: **Re: Imagine**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Imagine i , j, $(-1)^{-2}$**
- Next by thread: **Re: $\hbar=e^2/e0c$**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**