

Re: GR ?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-07/msg01540.html>

- *From:* "sue jahn" <[susysewshow@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:susyshow@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
 - *Date:* Mon, 25 Jul 2005 16:45:42 -0400
-

"Significant Zero" <paulpsremove@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1122315449.90945.0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>

snip

> | > You appear from my pov to have jumped the rails Tom as you have been
> writing

> | > that observation cannot effect the conditions of the objects under

> | > observation

> |

> | The Coulomb force between a photoelectron in a rail passenger's

> | retina and a lightning stroke is insufficient for this to occur. However

> | the Coulomb force between a free particle and HEP apparatus is

> | sufficient for observation to affect the conditions.

>

> Yes I agree that locally the observer can effect the observed but its

> difficult to see how looking at a star can effect it to any noticeable

> degree. BTW I was just trying to sort out the apparent, from my pov,

> incoherence that Tom posts. I do try and understand him but he seems to keep

> shifting his position to fit the situation which is fine for a game of

> stone,paper,string but seems to just make him look silly in the realm of

> scientific enquiry.

It was an opportune moment to show where SR really can be applied.

I don't know of any clock experiments that are not the result of a misinterpretation of AE's speculative writing style combined with reader's guilable reading style. The SUMO clock might be an exception because it would show that AE's concept of time in GR is actually related to the energy lost to local matter.

>

> |

> | As you have pointed out earlier,

> | mounting a linac on a railcar moving at 1/2 c can produce

> | electrons moving at 1.498... times the speed of light wrt the

> | "embankment".

>

Re: GR ?

- > Yes this point never seems to be addressed by the advocates, perhaps they
- > will one day{:—)

Only when it is taught that way in the creationism classes. :o)

Sue...

>

snip

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

• *References:*

◆ GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Bill Hobba

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Bill Hobba

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Bill Hobba

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ Re: GR ?

Re: GR ?

Re: GR ?

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ **Re: GR ?**

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ **Re: GR ?**

◇ *From:* Tom Roberts

◆ **Re: GR ?**

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

◆ **Re: GR ?**

◇ *From:* sue jahn

◆ **Re: GR ?**

◇ *From:* Significant Zero

- Prev by Date: **Re: GR ?**
- Next by Date: **Re: GR ?**
- Previous by thread: **Re: GR ?**
- Next by thread: **Re: GR ?**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**