

Re: why lorentz transformation?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-09/msg00939.html>

- *From:* D.McAnally@i'm_a_gnu.uq.net.au (David McAnally)
 - *Date:* Sun, 11 Sep 2005 17:15:29 +0000 (UTC)
-

After Androcles' latest posting, I see that he is still indulging in behaviour more uncivil and more disgusting than the behaviour for which he falsely claimed to have kill-filed me. This reinforces the point that I have already made that Androcles is a hypocrite. His response also reinforces the fact that he is nothing but a liar, since he claimed to have kill-filed me when he obviously didn't.

I will leave a comment on the intellectual handicap under which Androcles is working, and the intellectual wasteland of his arguments to a time when I have more time at my disposal.

I merely content myself with pointing out that Androcles is both a proven liar and a hypocrite. Obviously integrity means nothing to him.

Also, I would again urge Androcles to read www.apa.org/journals/features/psp7761121.pdf as it is really most apposite to him and his level of understanding of mathematics and physics.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **[Re: why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* Androcles
- *References:*
 - ◆ **[why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* francisco
 - ◆ **[Re: why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **[Re: why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* David McAnally
 - ◆ **[Re: why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **[Re: why lorentz transformation?](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* David McAnally
 - ◆ **[Re:](#)**