

# Re: The true crackpots

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-10/msg00156.html>

---

- *From:* "PD" <[TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 4 Oct 2005 11:35:23 -0700
- 

mluttgens@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

> PD wrote:

>> kenseto wrote:

>>> "PD" <[TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

>>> [news:1128178941.275246.204110@xx](mailto:news:1128178941.275246.204110@xx)

>>>>

>>>> mluttgens@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>>>>> PD wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> "... it is clear why the length of an object is *\*not\** an

>>>>> inherent property of an object and it is much more easily grasped why

>>>>> this quantity is *\*of course\** observer-dependent and, finally, why two

>>>>> observers can view each other's (identical) objects and each see them

>>>>> as shorter and that's not a problem. That is, it no longer is a

>>>>> "logical aberration".

>>>>>

>>>>> Yes, it is a logical aberration, because those observers apply SR,

>>>>>

>>>>> No. Their measurements are their measurements, their observations are

>>>>> their observations. They are not applying SR in any way to make those

>>>>> measurements.

>>>>>

>>>>> which only takes into consideration the relative motion between them,

>>>>> and ignores the possibility that they could move at different

>>>>> velocities wrt a same rest frame (like the track wrt whic