

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-10/msg00513.html>

- *From:* "Rod Ryker" <rryker@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 9 Oct 2005 16:42:06 -0400
-

"Androcles" <[Androcles@ MyPlace.org](mailto:Androcles@MyPlace.org)> wrote in message
[news:K_62f.11613\\$tS4.6957@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:K_62f.11613$tS4.6957@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
>
> "Rod Ryker" <rryker@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> [news:248c4\\$434839e6\\$d84426ef\\$24516@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:248c4$434839e6$d84426ef$24516@xxxxxxxxxxxx)
> |
> |
> | "Androcles" <[Androcles@ MyPlace.org](mailto:Androcles@MyPlace.org)> wrote in
> | message
> |
> [news:3XP1f.94810\\$RW.90039@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:3XP1f.94810$RW.90039@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
> |>
> |> "Jerry" <Cephalobus_alienus@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
> | in message
> |>
> |
> news:1128774624.816332.163280@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> |> | Henri Wilson wrote:
> |> |
> |> |> Jerry, we don't get many messages here
from
> | genuine females.
> |> |
> |> | I've noticed. The fake females in this
> | newsgroup are
> |> | for the most part SO obvious, expecially
when
> | they try
> |> | to adopt "feminine" mannerisms.
> |> |
> |> |> Most of them are
> |> |> males in disguise...that being a good
way to
> | avoid

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

> |> |> excessive abuse....
> |> |
> |> | Uncle Al certainly has never hesitated
with
> | the abuse.
> |> | Nor have Mike, NunYaBidness, Quantum
Mirror
> | etc.
> |> | I've never spouted back, though. Well,
almost
> | never.
> |>
> |>
> |> Hey, don't leave me out! I'll abuse any
phuckwit
> | regardless
> |> of gender.
> |>
> |> |
> |> | Traveler, though, has always been polite
to
> | me, even
> |> | though he realizes that I disagree with
almost
> | everything
> |> | he says. I would hope that his politeness
has
> | nothing to
> |> | do with my gender, but rather because I've
> | always been
> |> | respectful in my disagreements.
> |> |
> |> | My characterization of Androcles as having
> | given a "reasonably
> |> | satisfactory demonstration of incompetent
> | arrogance" –was–
> |> | crossing the line, and I shouldn't have
given
> | in to the
> |> | temptation of being able to insult under
the
> | safety of
> |> | anonymity. I won't take my words back, but
> | I –will– try not
> |> | to give in to temptation in the future.
> |>
> |> Not hungry enough to eat them?
> |> I'm very competent at arrogance, and I know
when
> | I'm right.
> |> I've posted why a diffraction grating

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

doesn't

> | measure wavelength
> | > to Ghost, if you are competent to read and
> | understand then
> | > you'll swallow your words and your hat for
> | afters.

> | > No, I'm not polite. This is about physics,
not

> | genuflecting, and I'm
> | > competent at physics also, phuckwit.
> | > |
> | > | > However I notice that Androcles doesn't
> | adjust his language
> | > | > for the two genders.
> | > |
> | > | I hate hate hate HATE being patronized. So
> | Androcles'
> | > | language doesn't bother me.

> | >
> | > Good. You don't bother me either. If you
want to

> | learn, use
> | > logic.

> | >
> | > |
> | > | > He suffers from professional jealousy
you

> | know...because
> | > | > MY variable star program is about 1
billion

> | time more
> | > | > powerful than his.

> | > | >
> | > | > Jerry, you ask a very legitimate
question

> | and one that I was
> | > | > contemplating just this very day. There
is

> | every reason to
> | > | > believe that, according to the BaT, the
> | distance between
> | > | > 'wavepeaks' should remain constant,
> | irrespective of relative
> | > | > observer speed.

> | >
> | > Anyone that thinks different-sized
newspapers
> | come off a
> | > printing press when the speed of the press
> | changes has to be
> | > has to be a complete lunatic.

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

> |> The frequency at which newspapers are printed
> | ranges from
> |> one a day at start up to over a 1000 a minute,
> | but they are all the
> |> same length. Likewise when you run a paint
> | roller over your
> |> wall, the wavelength is π * diameter of the
> | roller. Both processes
> |> are essentially the same.
> |> Nor is that MY theory, oh arrogant incompetent
> | one that will
> |> not retract your statement.
> |>
> |>
> |>
> |> However, observed doppler shift SHOULD BE
> |> |> dependent on relative observer speed.
> |> |> So, on the surface, it would appear that
> | gratings would not
> |> |> detect doppler shift if the BaT was correct.
> | One explanation
> |> |> is that diffraction is frequency
> | sensitive...whatever
> |> |> 'frequency' implies. The fact that they
DO
> | and the BaT MUST
> |> |> BE correct, promises to tell us something
> | about the properties
> |> |> and structure of 'photons' (if they exist).
> |> |>
> |> |> I am presently considering how extinction
> | accounts for
> |> |> anomalies in many variable star light
> | curves. You see, if
> |> |> light speed is unified by low density gases
> | as it travels
> |> |> through space, then radial velocities would
> | also be unified
> |> |> and negate the argument that extinction
> | refutes DeSitter's
> |> |> disproof of the Ritzian theory.
> |> |>

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

> |> |> I am now working on a model that
> | accommodates all these
> |> |> factors... but you will have to wait for
it.
> |> |
> |> | A good starting point for you would be to
see
> | how Brecher
> |> | analyzes the presumed effects of
extinction on
> | his data.
> |> | http://imaginary_nematode.home.comcast.net
> |> | You naturally would disagree with his
> | conclusions.
> |>
> |> Looks to me (at a glance) that the author
has
> | some inkling
> |> of what he's saying. Thanks for the
reference, I
> | shall read it.
> |>
> |> Henri (or Henry, he doesn't know how to
spell
> | his own name)
> |> Wilson is listed at crank.net for his
H-aether
> | "theory",
> |> which is where it belongs.
> |> <http://www.crank.net/relativity.html>
> |> refers to
> |>
<http://www.users.bigpond.com/hewn/H-aether.htm>
> |>
> |> Androcles
> |>
> | Rod: I like you and Henri and disagree
sometimes,
> | but that crank comment was very "THE DIRK!" of
> | you.
>
> Perhaps, but I spent a great deal of time
talking to Henri Wilson
> who insists planets are to be called Wilson Cool
Heavies,
> no reasoned argument will persuade him
otherwise.
> I will not associate myself with such an
egotistical "My Theory"
> crank.
>

Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior

Rod: Ego is what it is Androcles. ;)
But you and Henri have similar thoughts re
the speed of light etc.
I don't agree, and yet I respect very much
the both of you. And I will not disrespect
you as "THE DIRK!" does.
You were too harsh on Henri A-man. :)

> | Conquer and divide saith "THE DIRK!"
>
> I have no interest in anything the phuckwit
moortel says.
> If Henri Wilson wants to go it alone, I can't
stop him.

Rod: Exactly what I'm saying.
"THE DIRK!" is nothing but a NG bully.
He knows better, yet he discourages thought
that disagrees with mainstream physics.
Indeed, he hasn't the time nor the balls to stand
up.

>
> | How many strings does "THE DIRK!" have on you
> | and Henri now?
>
> I have no interest in the stooopid cunt.
>
> | I however, am not bound by the strings of a
JERK
> | that JERK'S via "THE DIRK!"
>
> Ok... you are a free man, why are you interested
in the arsehole?

Rod: "THE DIRK!" cares about strength in numbers
re opposition
to mainstream physics. I am interested in keeping
US from desolving.

> | --
> | Rod Ryker...
> | The intricacies of nature is man's cannon
fodder.
>
> That's a rather silly statement.
> Androcles
>

Rod: Not if you disagree with mainstream physics.
A-man! ;)

—
Rod Ryker...

The intricacies of nature is man's cannon fodder.

-
- **Follow-Ups:**
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Androcles

 - **References:**
 - ◆ **Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Jerry
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Androcles
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Dirk Van de moortel
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Jerry
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Jerry
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Androcles
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Rod Ryker
 - ◆ **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
◇ From: Androcles

 - Prev by Date: **Re: The true crackpots**
 - Next by Date: **Re: The true crackpots**
 - Previous by thread: **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
 - Next by thread: **Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**