

MMX Expected Shft.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-10/msg00596.html>

- *From:* "Bill Hobba" <rubbish@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 10 Oct 2005 23:53:10 GMT
-

In discussing about the MMX is another post I noticed it and similar experiments gave expected fringe shifts based on the sun being stationary wrt to an aether. I can not see how such an assumption would be reasonable. The result of the experiment of course was that the earth was to a rather good degree of accuracy stationary wrt to any supposed aether – which strongly suggests it does not exist. But that the sun is stationary wrt to a supposed aether seems just as unlikely. Does anyone know the thinking behind assuming the sun was stationary wrt to the aether?

Thanks
Bill

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* Tom Roberts
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* N:dlzc D:aol T:com \((dlzc\)
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* Hayek
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* Martin Hogbin
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* Koobee Wuble
 - ◆ ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
 - ◇ *From:* N:dlzc D:aol T:com \((dlzc\)
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Androcles' and Henri's Theory of Diffraction Grating Behavior***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Black holes radiate – the end of GR is there***
- Previous by thread: ***Attention golf-NUTs.!! \$600 pou. 1 bright fresh 2-bdrm apt, with fridge stove & balcony included. Partly furnished. Quiet monor.!!***
- Next by thread: ***Re: MMX Expected Shft.***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***