

Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-10/msg01553.html>

- *From:* "Burgerman" <burgerman@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 26 Oct 2005 20:29:26 GMT
-

"beda pietanza" <beda-pietanza@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:EtR7f.10203\\$65.289177@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:EtR7f.10203$65.289177@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>

> "Burgerman" <burgerman@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> ha scritto nel messaggio

> [news:NUE7f.7867\\$Ce5.448@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:NUE7f.7867$Ce5.448@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>>

>> "beda pietanza" <beda-pietanza@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

>> [news:1oc7f.29422\\$Pe2.571934@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1oc7f.29422$Pe2.571934@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>> > Man discover the hidden laws of nature as he gets along with history.

>>

>> eh?

>>

>> >

>> > Not only the scientific achievements are enlisted, also there are

>> > unavoidable

>> > errors

>>

>> eh?

>>

>>

>> > and swindlers that man discovers and that he must go through.

>>

>> So far you made no sense, and your sentences were not really meaningful

>> or

>> logical.

>

> please point out what exactly you disagree, I say that scientific

> discoveries and unavoidable errors are find along our path and

> they are predetermined by nature and don't depend from our

> will, what do you have to say against this exactly ????

Nothing but its irrelevant. And obvious. And meaningless. Whats the point?

>> >

>> > We may have a personal catalogues

>>

>>

Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood

>> ??? Define catalogue? And what you mean.
>>
>>
>> > where we put truths
>>
>> Define truths. And the meaning of this vague statement?

I see you did neither. (above.)

>
>>
>> > and where we put
>> > errors, yet those true discoveries and those unavoidable errors have a
>> > objective existence beyond our will.
>>
>> ??? Errors are errors. Truths are proven. I dont "put them" anywhere.
>>
> Most of the time Truths are errors not yet proven as such.
> Experience of errors is the only and the most efficiency way to knowledge:
> Truths and errors are not invented but are just discoveries.

You speak in riddles that mean absolutely nothing. Use facts and logic.

>> > This cultural determinism must be taken into account when we stand for
> or
>> > against something.
>>
>> No only fact.
>
> facts or interpretations of facts ???

Facts.

>> > By selecting the unavoidable from the "noise" we have a hint of the
>> > absolute
>> > milestones that, true or false, trace the obliged path from our past to
>> > our
>> > future.
>>
>> Eh?????????
>
> I mean here again, that we are bound to meet with the unavoidable
> discoveries, some pregnant of thruth and some very deceiving and false.

Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood

Then they are theories, evidence, and as yet unproven, so what?

> These discoveries are deterministically preset in the womb of nature.

No. Unless you can PROVE otherwise.

>> > True or false the relativistic theories belong to the obliged path of

> our

>> > journey.

>>

>>

>> You talk garbage, only facts and logic make any sense.

>

> Facts need interpretations and logic is very personal and subjective.

No thats the point. Facts are just that. Logic is always logic. Logic by definition is not subjective.

>

> So don't bring in facts but say your opinion on a certain interpretation

> of

> facts, that is all you can do.

An opinion on an "interpretation" is a theory, to be proven wrong or right by LOGIC which cannot be subjective or FACTS which speak for themselves.

I suggest you go back to church because your amazing lack of logic means that by now you have almost guaranteed to have been assimilated.

.

• *References:*

◆ *deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood*

◇ *From: beda pietanza*

◆ *Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood*

◇ *From: Burgerman*

Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood

- Prev by Date: *Re: "Is There a Force of Gravity?"*
- Next by Date: *Re: Electro-London Inertia*
- Previous by thread: *Re: deterministic truth, deterministic falsehood*
- Next by thread: *astronomic radiotelescope array synch*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*