

Re: A little challenge for relativists.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-11/msg00096.html>

- *From:* shuba <tim.shuba@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 02 Nov 2005 08:28:59 -0600
-

O'Harry wrote:

- > Fine – I don't consider the absence of a limiting speed as a valid
- > "invariant" number, but you may do so if you like.

The point is that an instantaneous signal in Galilean relativity would be instantaneous in all frames, independent of the speed of the source. You would be better off studying the mathematics of relativity, rather than making more uninformed and disingenuous comments based on your poor understanding.

- > Now do you disagree with
- > Bill and Tom or don't you?

What would lead you to think that I disagree with them? I doubt they would disagree with my initial statement either, as it's a well known and uncontroversial fact about the group structures that can logically follow from the relativity principle.

---Tim Shuba---

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: A little challenge for relativists.*
◇ *From:* Harry

- *References:*

- ◆ *Re: A little challenge for relativists.*
◇ *From:* John Kennaugh
- ◆ *Re: A little challenge for relativists.*
◇ *From:* shuba
- ◆ *Re: A little challenge for relativists.*
◇ *From:* Harry

- Prev by Date: *Re: absolute time and the etheristic SR Doppler*
- Next by Date: *Re: Incommensurability of Mathematical Logic and Scientific Logic*

Re: A little challenge for relativists.

- Previous by thread: ***Re: A little challenge for relativists.***
- Next by thread: ***Re: A little challenge for relativists.***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***