

Re: force

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-11/msg00291.html>

- *From:* "significant zero" <paulps@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 4 Nov 2005 11:58:11 -0800
-

| > sue wrote
|
| Sigh...
| Children !!!
| Charles, Camilla, Dubya, Browine and Sig z :o)

I wish.

| <<Forces always come in pairs – equal and opposite
| action–reaction force pairs.>>

Is that why we have pairs of bolloxs miss ?

| <http://www.glenbrook.k12.il.us/gbssci/phys/Class/newtlaws/u214a.html>

| Sue...
|

A field is a condition (even if it has a pair of bolloxs in it) that can exist on its own and the force that is the product of this field can be considered as a single entity, What we teach our kids today no wonder we are nearly extinct !! (:–)

sig z

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: force***
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: force***
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ ***Re: force***
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ ***Re: force***

Re: force

◇ *From: Sue...*

- Prev by Date: ***Re: OWLS & Out of Sync Clocks–By How Much Are They Out of Sync.***
- Next by Date: ***Re: A little challenge for relativists.***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: force***
- Next by thread: ***Re: force***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***