

Re: force

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-11/msg00325.html>

- *From:* "Sue..." <suzysewnshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 5 Nov 2005 02:46:25 -0800
-

significant zero wrote:

> "Sue..." <suzysewnshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:1131181506.305345.277030@xx
> |
> | significant zero wrote:
> | > "Sue..." <suzysewnshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> | > news:1131139215.491051.228040@xx
> | > snip|
>
> snip
>
> |The moustache would look better in italics to express some puzzlement.
>
> Cant do italics scissors are too blunt, (:}) air force mode.
LOL
>
> | The other questions sound loaded so I need to refer to some T.R.
> posts
> | to
> | be sure the nested abstractions are abstract enough. ;-)
> |
> | Space is what keeps dis-similar charges and cultures
> | from anhilating each other.
>
> Nice one but it suggests that charges and cultures are made of
> something other than space

I certainly hope they are different.
I can put my earrings in a space.
I might get a zap or a pathogen if I put them in
a charge or a culture ?

> and brings up a can of worms.

Eeeeeeyuck! I wouldn't put them in a can of worms either.

> Would it perhaps be more accurate (given no real knowledge)
> to say that space is

Re: force

- > differences in existence with the same statement being true for matter
- > and its effects. ?

Not till ya show us a charge–pair conjured from light.
I know there have been some recent attempts but I don't have the URL handy.

- >
- > | Time is an abstraction that relates such annihilation to
- > | space.
- >
- > An abstraction of space ?

Space doesn't need further abstraction other than x,y,z.

Time is an abstraction that expresses the *relationship* between displacement and mass/energy conservation.

- >
- > |
- > | << the energy is conserved if and only if the physical laws are
- > | invariant under time translations (if their form does not depend on
- > | time) >>
- > | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Noether's_theorem
- > |
- > | Howzat, Mr. Moustache?
- > | Sue...
- >
- > Balls to stump as they may say in cricket (:)
- >
- > Do you (or Noether) mean local time or average(cosmic) time in which
- > this poorly defined condition (that cannot include time) is conserved

The time as measured by the drag–strip official's watch or the fuelers calibrated can. Neither knows if a car improves it elapsed time by getting lighter or exerting more force because $F = ma$. Both can detect an improvement.

IOW... a rail passenger three galaxies away will note a correlation between the fuel used and the official's watch unless lightning strikes them simultaneously. :o)

Sue...

- > ?
- >
- > Sig zoro

.

Re: force

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero

- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* Sue...
 - ◆ **Re: force**
 - ◇ *From:* significant zero

- Prev by Date: **Re: Falling in curves**
- Next by Date: **Re: How do you Interpret the Lorentz transform**
- Previous by thread: **Re: force**
- Next by thread: **Re: force**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**