

Re: force

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-11/msg00491.html>

- *From:* "significant zero" <paulps@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 8 Nov 2005 03:59:25 -0800
-

|
| significant zero wrote:
| > "Sue..." <suzysewnshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
| > news:1131181506.305345.277030@xx
| > |
| > | significant zero wrote:
| > | > "Sue..." <suzysewnshow@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
| > | > news:1131139215.491051.228040@xx
| > | > snip|
| >
| > snip
| snip
| > Nice one but it it suggests that charges and cultures are made of
| > something other than space
|
| I certainly hope they are different.

Different yes but of the same basic substance ? time/space ?

snip
|
| > Would it perhaps be more accurate (given no real knowledge)
| > to say that space is
| > differences in existence with the same statement being true for
| matter
| > and its effects. ?
|
| Not till ya show us a charge-pair conjured from light.
| I know there have been some recent attempts but I
| don't have the URL handy.

You seem to be saying that you think charges, light and space are
fundementally intrinsicaly different and not just the product of a
different
assembly line using the same basic material (time/space) ?

|
| >

Re: force

|> | Time is an abstraction that relates such annihilation to

|> | space.

|>

|> An abstraction of space ?

|

| Space doesn't need further abstraction other than x,y,z.

Why not ? perhaps a significant aspect of space is its time aspect