

Re: Dayton Miller's Data have no Real Signal

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2005-12/msg01313.html>

- *From:* "David Thomson" <google@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 15 Dec 2005 08:53:13 -0800
-

Joe Fischer wrote:

- > On 12 Dec "David Thomson" <google@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
- >> There is a .doc format at:
- >> www.16pi2.com/files/A_New_Foundation_of_Physics.doc
- >>
- >> If the link doesn't work, remove the underscores in the filename.
- >
- > Right, I knew that

- >>> In think it would be a favor to you if I don't comment,
- >>> I am extremely prejudiced toward a particular physical model.
- >>
- >> That doesn't matter, I am not. I don't let the prejudices of others
- >> get in the way from speaking my mind and staying focused on the topic.
- >
- > What I meant was, I can't be both honest and kind.

All you really mean is that you can't or don't want to do the simple algebra to prove the theory wrong. Either that, or you already did it and cannot prove the theory wrong. After several years of my work being available to these newsgroups, it seems unlikely that at least a few of the more savvy members haven't already gone over the theory with a fine toothed comb. Not being able to find anything wrong with the math or the data it was derived from, they have no ammunition for discrediting the theory except snide remarks. Regardless of whether you have or have not read the theory, you clearly choose to join the group, which doles out snide remarks instead of address the theory.

Your lame excuse of not being able to be kind is hard to swallow.

- > My definition of "speaking in tongue" where physics
- > is concerned is "putting words that are not related in the
- > same sentence, and those words should not even be on
- > the same page".

That is a good philosophy. Can you point to a specific example?

- >> I would love to bury this idea if it is no good.
- >

Re: Dayton Miller's Data have no Real Signal

- > If you have to completely rewrite physics, it _is_
- > no good.

The corollary is that if the physics you promote are good, they would
n