

Re: The H&K Flying Circus

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-02/msg00743.html>

- *From:* "Paul B. Andersen" <paul.b.andersen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Feb 2006 15:54:57 +0100
-

Henri Wilson wrote:

On Mon, 06 Feb 2006 23:20:06 +0100, "Paul B. Andersen"
<paul.b.andersen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Henri Wilson wrote:

On Wed, 01 Feb 2006 14:50:37 +0100, "Paul B. Andersen"
<paul.b.andersen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Henri Wilson wrote:

On Tue, 31 Jan 2006
23:24:18 +0100, "Paul B.
Andersen"
<paul.b.andersen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Henri
Wilson
wrote:

On
Thu,

Re: The H&K Flying Circus

26
Jan
2006
23:05:32
+0100,
"Paul
B.
Andersen"
<paul.b.andersen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Henri
Wilson
wrote:

Androcles
is
correct
for
once.
Sagnac
is
NOT
understood.

The
classical
explanation
–
which
is
also
SR's
–
is
the
same
as
that
which
would
apply

Re: The H&K Flying Circus

to
a
sound
based
sagnac
interferometer
in
still
air.

Right.
The
speed
of
light
is
isotropic
 c
in
an
inertial
frame.
The
speed
of
sound
is
isotropic
 c
in
the
air
frame.

So
you
do
understand
the
Sagnac
experiment.
Then
you
also
understand
why
the
emission
theory
predicts

Re: The H&K Flying Circus

no
Sagnac
effect.

Paul,
you
are
behind
the
times.

Does that
mean that
you no
longer
understand
the Sagnac
experiment?
The laws of
nature have
yet again
changed?

I understand it better than
anyone. I have discovered
the real reason for the
fringe shift.

It fully supports the BaTh.

Quite.
The REAL reason is of course that
interferometers
are governed by previously unknown laws of
nature
newly discovered by Henry Wilson.

As this great genius has taught us, the laws
of
nature are different for different phenomena.

Unlike Einstein worshippers, I still believe there are
scientific questions to

Re: The H&K Flying Circus

be answered.

Like how an interferometer works?

I have discovered the real reason for the sagnac fringe shift.
It fully supports the BaTh.

Of course you have. Of course it does.
Interferometers are governed by hitherto unknown laws of nature,
newly discovered by you.
Since you assert the BaT CANNOT be wrong (how could it be?),
nature MUST obey and change it's laws accordingly.

We know that the SR explanation is identical to that which would apply to a
'sound sagnac' in still air. In other words SR relies on aether theory to
explain sagnac.

Quite.
The speed of light is c in the ether frame.
The speed of light is c in all inertial frames.
The latter relies on the former.
Another world shattering Wilsonian discovery.

Paul

.