

Re: Electron and car.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-04/msg00735.html>

- *From:* "Bill Hobba" <rubbish@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 12 Apr 2006 00:46:47 GMT
-

"socratus" <israelsad@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1144756206.721012.95690@xx

Maxwell's theory considered action of an electromagnetic field.
Fitzgerald and Lorents first began to consider electron in this theory.
Then and other scientists (Poincare, Abraham, Einstein) become
interested
with this question. And, proceeding from the Maxwell's theory,
Einstein has created SRT.
The Maxwell's theory and SRT are interconnected theories.
The main and unique hero of this theory is electron.
And only electron has changes which are described by SRT..

Wrong – all known atomic particles obey SRT.

* * *

But!
But all have taken a great interest in only mathematical calculations
and
began to consider changes of the car, train, plane, rocket
from the point of SRT view.
It is only mathematical abstraction.

So is Euclidain goemtry – I wonder why surveyors us that?

How is it possible to compare electron with the car, train?
Unless is there a theory of a train, creating an electromagnetic field?
Unless is there a theory of a proton, creating an electromagnetic
or proton –magnetic field?

How is it possible to pose gibberish questions and expect a reasonable
answer?

Re: Electron and car.

Bill

In our terrestrial life we use only service of the electromagnetic field.

* * *

I hope, that someone will understand
my simple peasant logic: $1 + 1 = 2$.