

Re: dimensions are energy??

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-04/msg02463.html>

- *From:* "Bill Hobba" <rubbish@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 29 Apr 2006 23:48:49 GMT
-

"N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc)" <N: dlzc1 D:cox T:net@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:8oM4g.1647\\$AB3.940@xxxxxxxx](mailto:news:8oM4g.1647$AB3.940@xxxxxxxx)

Dear Nishu:

"Nishu" <amitk_dni@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:1146326066.852417.60110@xx

But I will also like to add that once even 'earth is round' seemed to be a creative and unscientific thought, or evolution

No, the Greeks had identified that the Earth was round (and measured the curvature), long before Europeans got in trouble with the Church for pointing out the facts. "The Earth Is Round" was simply politically incorrect, because the Church was dominant in politics at that time.

Indeed – for the full gory detail see

[http://hps.arts.unsw.edu.au/hps_content/online_resources/online_inhouse_res/schuster_SciRev_book/Schuster a cont](http://hps.arts.unsw.edu.au/hps_content/online_resources/online_inhouse_res/schuster_SciRev_book/Schuster_a_cont)

or even universal nature of a single force called gravity (However whoever proposed these thoughts were, unlike me, greatest minds of their time). And as you believe in quantum mechanics (as I hope), you cannot completely discard probability of any event, however small it may be.

That is one of the problems with applying quantum mechanics to the real world. A probability can be assigned to a particular cow, having been slaughtered, processed, and consumed... to be reconstituted into the original cow.

Re: dimensions are energy??

Your idea is not sharp, not in focus. Until you make some predictions, it can neither be supported nor defeated. Until it is fully expressed (or discarded), it will always stand between you and more understanding. Your next step is to see what "dimensions are energy" implies...

And that is where a problem arises – time is a dimension – but Noethers theorem shows that energy is basically about time symmetry which would seem to rule the idea out. However you may still wish to pursue it – but it does not look fruitful – ie as Pauli would say – it seems not even wrong.

Thanks
Bill

David A. Smith