

Re: Quarks? Dragonology and quarkology.

Re: Quarks? Dragonology and quarkology.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-04/msg02497.html>

- *From:* "Bill Hobba" <rubbish@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 30 Apr 2006 11:45:26 GMT
-

"socratus" <israelsad@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1146375874.445377.95450@xx

The wish of the scientists to understand the picture of Existence is great.

That is why, aspiring to understand the structure of micro particles, they created a new theory "quantum chromodynamics ", "quark model", 1963.

But the "quantum chromodynamics " theory approves that it is impossible to see quarks.

* * *

"Once upon a time there was a man who was a very good expert on dragon.

He studied versions of dragons, their attributes and habits most carefully .

He could skilfully distinguish one kind of dragon from another.

But, unfortunately, for all his ife he never met any dragon. .

And he did not hear, that someone another should see dragon. .

When this scientist came nearer to old age and got tired from studing dragons,

he began to train the young people, that they also understood this major

area of knowledge – dragonology as well."

/ From the book V.P. Shelist "Splinters" /

* * *

The dragon theory was created a long time ago.

The quark theory was recently created.

The dragon is a strange beast.

And the quark is also a strange beast.

Both of them are from one myth.

Quarks are not myth – they for example explain virtually all of normal mass – dragoons can not do that:

<http://mitworld.mit.edu/video/204/>

Re: Quarks? Dragonology and quarkology.

Only different times gave this myth the different names.

The fact you can't tell the difference between myth and science does not mean others can't any more than the fact flat earthers can't see how silly they are does not mean the rest of humanity don't see it.

Bill

.